1	
2	
3	
4	
- 5	MEETING MINUTES FOR THE RULES COMMITTEE
6	OF THE
7	BOARD OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY
8	OF THE
9	LOUISIANA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
10	HELD AT
11	IBERVILLE BUILDING
12	627 NORTH 4TH STREET
13	9TH FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM 9-104
14	BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA
15	ON THE 10TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2016
16	COMMENCING AT 10:07 A.M.
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: ELICIA H. WOODWORTH, CCR
21	
22	
23	
24	



#### **C&I MEETING**

1	Appearances of Board Members Present:
2	Robert Adley Yvette Cola
3	Major Coleman Manual"Manny" Fajardo
4	Charles R. "Robby" Miller Jan K. Moller
5	Daniel J. Shexnaydre, Jr. Ronnie Slone
6	Steven L. Windham
7	Staff members present:
8	Kristen Cheng Danielle Clapinski
9	Frank Favaloro Brenda Guess
10	Mandi Mitchell Don Pierson
11	Melissa Sorrell Anne Villa
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

1 MR. ADLEY: 2 Matthew Block is going to join us this morning, along with Richard House, who authored our 3 4 executive order, so I was trying to give him just a couple more minutes. So while we're waiting, let me get 5 6 just some preliminary stuff out of the way. If we have to fall to a recess just for a few minutes, we will, to 7 make sure he gets here. 8 9 I don't know about the rest of you, I don't know for all of my years I've ever been in Baton 10 Rouge I've ever actually made it into this building 11 12 before. Nice place, but finding a place to park was not 13 the easiest thing. He may be running into the same 14 problem. 15 So with that, let's begin with rollcall. 16 MS. SORRELL: 17 Robert Adley. 18 MR. ADLEY: 19 Here. 20 MS. SORRELL: 21 Yvette Cola. 22 MS. COLA: 23 Here. 24 MS. SORRELL: 25 Major Coleman.



1	MAJ	OR COLEMAN:
2		Here.
3	MS.	SORRELL:
4		Rickey Fabra.
5	(No	response.)
6	MS.	SORRELL:
7		Manny Fajardo.
8	MR.	FAJARDO:
9		Here.
10	MS.	SORRELL:
11		Robby Miller.
12	MR.	MILLER:
13		Here.
14	MS.	SORRELL:
15		Jan Moller.
16	MR.	MOLLER:
17		Here.
18	MS.	SORRELL:
19		Danny Shexnaydre.
20	MR.	SHEXNAYDRE:
21		Here.
22	MS.	SORRELL:
23		Ronnie Slone.
24	MR.	SLONE:
25		Here.



1	MS. SORRELL:
2	We have a quorum.
3	MR. ADLEY:
4	Thank you very much.
5	We had some minutes from the last
6	meeting. I think those were sent out to everyone. Is
7	that not correct?
8	So Major will move for adoption of those
9	minutes. Is there any objection to the adoption of the
10	minutes from the last meeting?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. ADLEY:
13	Hearing none, those meeting minutes are
14	adopted.
15	I now ask that when we posted the
16	agenda, there was one item that I forgot to give to the
17	staff to put on the list, and that was an item for Don
18	Pierson to give us a report on the meeting he had with
19	the tax commission relative to this issue. He came away
20	with some interesting facts I thought, so I thought it
21	would be good to add him to the agenda, and so without
22	objection, we would add Don Pierson. He will become
23	Item 5; right, prior to our staff making their
24	clarification on the suggestions that they've made to
25	us.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, with that, we are now at the clarification of the executive order, so while we wait on Matthew, we have Mr. House here with us. I'm going to get you to come up. There have been a number of questions that have come up. You helped draft the executive order I know from the meetings I was in with you and with the Governor, and basically LED put out a great document. If any of you have not seen it, they put out at the last meeting of the task force, I think of July the 22nd, about this executive order. covered basically four areas that the executive order I think it talked about the CEA and agreement between the locals that will be -- that's required; they talked about the creation of jobs; they talked about miscellaneous capital additions, and basically that's really not going to occur anymore. And then the other types of ITEP that would not be eligible for ITEP. Those were environmental changes and the like.

So if I can get you to take a moment.

As you see, you also received a letter, I think, from

LABI. I think they had about 30 different questions for
the committee. For the committee to know, I talked to

Jim Patterson this morning on my way in. He clearly
understands we do not plan to address all 30 of those
questions here this morning, but talk in general terms



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about the executive order, especially as it relates to local government. So while we're waiting on Matthew, I'm going to turn it over to you to ask you to kind of walk us back through that executive order, if you will.

MR. HOUSE:

Couple basic things here. One of the things that the Board is or the staff is trying to do for the benefit of the Board and the Rules Committee is gather information, and that's going to take a while and it's going -- there's all new applications as well as some of the old applications. Information's going to have to be gathered. When we look down the road in terms of things like Exhibit A and Exhibit B, we're talking about, again, a process where we're moving towards a number of different agreements as part of what we're trying to do. So these things -- none of these things exist in a vacuum.

The ITEP program -- and we'll go through each of the aspects of the executive order in just a second, but just remember, the ITEP rules, as they have been changed to change the program to make it a program that emphasizes jobs, both job creation as well as, in compelling circumstances, job retention. So that's the big adjustment, and that, first and foremost, I believe, has to be how we take a look at these rules.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the Governor issued his executive order on June the 24th, and it provides the terms and conditions under which the Governor is to determine the contract for industrial tax exemption in the best interest of the state has provided in Article 7 Section 21(f) of the State Constitution. Now, at that time, he said that for all pending contractural applications for which no advanced notification is required under the rules of the Board of Commerce and Industry except for such contracts that provide for new jobs or completing manufacturing plants or establishments. This order is effective immediately for all contracts for which advanced notification is required under the rules of the Board of Commerce and Industry. This order is effective for advanced notification filed after the date of the issuance of this order.

And, again, I'll sort of pause here if any of you have any questions regarding the application of that. I know we've had some from various groups, and, by the way, my door is open, and if people want to call me or come discuss these, I'm happy to do it, you know, with any number of people any number of times. So it's an ongoing, informational process, but essentially what we're saying is the effectiveness in this provision we're talking about in Section 2, when and how the order



## Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

becomes effective. So you now have, as of June the 24th, you have contracts or you have advanced notifications. Those are going to be subject to the process and procedures that went on with the Board and the Governor before the 24th of June.

Richard, let me just make this clear, what I've heard from the Governor's office is that albeit the effective date for the executive order after June 24, all of those applications that we've already voted on and sent to him doesn't necessarily mean he's going to accept all of them because he also relies heavily on what he believes the real definition of manufacturing is. That's become a rule issue for him. So I just didn't want anyone to be led to believe that just because this Board had approved some applications before or if this Board approves some more that have come in prior to June the 24th and sent them over there, that doesn't necessarily mean that he is obligated to or will actually agree to those.

#### MR. HOUSE:

And that's absolutely correct. That's the Governor's prerogative. And I'd also note that if you look at Section 4 of the executive order, the Governor is looking to this Board to specifically determine that the establishment meets the



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

constitutional definition of manufacturing. That's one aspect of Section 4. Another aspect is the exemption contracts for new manufacturing plants or establishments are favored by the Governor, and exemption contracts for any additions to any existing plants or establishment are not favored by the Governor unless they provide for new jobs or present compelling reasons for retention of existing jobs. So that emphasizes the job creation that's in there, but there is an additional -- it's a duty we've always had, but he's telling me that he wants you to look at what's being applied for and does it fit under the definition of manufacturing as provided in the Louisiana Constitution and as is provided in the cases that interpret that under the Louisiana Constitution. And --

#### MR. ADLEY:

It would help us, Richard, a whole lot, while I was looking at the rule and they give -- Hello, Matthew. You're right on time.

Matthew is a little late. He's been out recruiting industry for us, so if you want to come up to the table and join Richard, that would be great.

Richard is just kind of beginning a summary for us.

The cases that you referenced that give a definition to manufacturing, inside the rules, I noted



## Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that what they have as a definition is nothing but a repeat of what's in the Constitution, which doesn't actually give a definition of manufacturing. I think it would help all of us -- I know it will at least help me -- before our next meeting, if you could pull up some of those definitions for us that have been determined in court cases that you just referenced, that would be helpful.

MR. HOUSE:

Yes, sir, will do.

And then the other thing I will add is that part of the information gathering that the staff is doing also is going to have to go to this issue, that more information is going to have to be obtained about what in particular is being done in connection with the manufacturing, the new manufacturing establishment or the addition, and whether it meets the constitutional requirement of manufacturing so that the Board can have the information. And there are going to be some issues that are going to be close and are going to require discretionary judgment on your part. And the court's generally have honored the discretionary judgment of the Board with respect to determining what is or is not manufacturing, and, you know, the Governor may also have his own opinion of what is or is not manufacturing and



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he's going to follow that, too, but I think you have to look at your constitutional --

#### MR. ADLEY:

Let me enter -- one of the issues that came up in one of our earlier meetings, and I know the people that represented the folks are here today, but I'm going to go ahead and bring it up, but this is an example of where we need clarity. If you have a manufacturer defined to be a manufacturer, he owns the plant, he owns the facility, but he then contracts out with someone else who is not a manufacturer who uses their equipment or stuff on his site and then this entity that's clearly not a manufacturer is getting ITEP, there is some issue with that. There's some concern with that. And I think that's part of the clarity that we're going to have to get and we're going to need your help to do that.

#### MR. HOUSE:

That's correct. And then with whatever facts we can put together on that as well as the court cases that are out there.

MR. ADLEY:

Yes.

MR. HOUSE:

Y'all are going to have to make the



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

decision ultimately as a Board as to whether or not this qualifies for the manufacturer exemption, and then it's going to the Governor and then the Governor is going to have a separate -- under the constitution, he has a separate role and he can make the same decision or he can make an opposite decision.

I think what we are now having is a more active Board and a more active level of determining the ability or the qualification for the exemption, but, you know, the department serves the public. It also serves, you know, business and industry, so it's -- the thing that the department is going to need from business and industry is a lot of information to support, truthful information to support what they're trying to achieve, which is the manufacturing exemption, truthful information about jobs, truthful information about compelling needs for job retention to be considered. So that's very important, and I would urge that in a public meeting, that that cannot be overemphasized.

#### MR. ADLEY:

I will add that you will notice at the beginning of the last meeting we had some public comments, but in every meeting we have, we're going to have, as you see on our agenda, public comments at the end. It will be very helpful for whatever business or



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anyone else that's here who has an interest, that's going to be a time for us to hear that so we have a record of it, not only of what y'all are doing, but for us to hear at the same time.

MR. HOUSE:

Absolutely. Yes, sir.

MR. ADLEY:

So with that, let me turn it over to Matthew, if I can, the executive counsel for the Governor. I've had the pleasure of working very closely with Matthew. I find him to be a very bright young man and one who's very amenable to listening to whatever concerns everybody has.

I know you've looked at a number of things. I know Jim Patterson from LABI sent us some things; you went through some of that. I know you're not going to address all of that, but I did ask you, and I want to thank you, as a courtesy of this Board, you're coming today just to share with us some of the general thoughts behind this executive order so that we try to stay on track.

So, Matthew, I give it to you.

MR. BLOCK:

Thank you, and thank you for allowing me to come this morning.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think part of what the Governor was attempting to do with this executive order is exactly what's happening right now and what's happened over the last two months in that I suspect there's probably been more discussion and analysis as of this program in the last two months than there has been for a long time before then. And that's part of what this is about, about making sure this program is actually an incentive program and not just a program that is a rubber stamp for any application that meets some sort of loose criteria about what could possibly be eligible.

So that being said, what the Governor's executive order does is it sets forth the criteria under which he will sign contracts for the ITEP program. And so as everybody understands, there is a multi-step The last step in the process being the process. Governor's approval or disapproval, which he has constitutional authority to do so. So instead of just taking a somewhat subjective prerogative that he has, per the constitution, to decide yes or no on each of those contracts, he's trying to provide some predictability as to the items that he is asking for LED, the Board of Industry and Commerce, to consider, and also the applicant to consider for this program. And if then those applications do meet those standards,



## Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those are ones that the Governor is committed that he will sign and agree to and move forward.

There's a lot of work that we all have to do, and that's what this committee is doing today, to try and make sure those details are set forth and also workable, to make sure that, for example, I know one of the issues that's raising a lot of concern is and some of the questions we got from LABI was about how this input from local government is going to be considered and how it's going to be made a part of this. And the Governor has asked LED to start to work on some rules as to how that will be -- A, how that information will be communicated to the local governments as to how this is going to work and what they're going to be asked to do and what input they are going to have. But that's a part of this, because for a long period of time now, the State has been essentially deciding whether or not local governments get tax money, and they should and will, under the Governor's executive order, have input into that now in a way they didn't before, or at least formally have input now in a way they didn't before. And the Governor thinks that's only fair and reasonable that those entities that are going to be deprived of those tax revenues have some input as to whether or not this is a project that makes sense, creates jobs, is



## Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES
www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doing something in line of what this incentive program was set out for to begin with.

So what this is trying to do, again, is create some predictability. We all have some work left to do to make sure that that predictability is set forth and how this works, and the Governor's committed to doing that. He's asked his staff to be committed to doing that. We're going to continue to work with you, with industry, with local governments, with everybody involved to make sure that that input is considered both from the local level, from industry, to make sure this is a workable program, but that it achieves the goals that this program was set out for, which is to create jobs and to stimulate development and to make it where it works for everybody on all levels of government.

So I'm happy to answer any questions or to take any comments back to our office to -- and obviously we're going to continue to be working with LED to make sure that as this moves forward, that it is going to be a workable and predictable approval process.

MR. ADLEY:

Matthew, let me begin that if anyone else has a question, just raise your hand so I'll make sure I recognize you.

One of the issues that keeps coming up,



and I'm sure everybody's getting calls. I'm getting them. In the interim, while we're working toward this set of rules and LED giving the specific guidelines how to deal with local government, Richard, are there some things that we can give to the public to say this is generally what you need to do to go get that approval now? Can you tell me where we are on that? I mean, that's the question that keeps coming up. People who say, "Look, I've got somebody interested in coming to the State now. They think they're going to get ITEP. How do we go about getting that local approval now?" So what do we tell them?

#### MR. HOUSE:

Well, I think the best thing to do is come to Economic Development first if they haven't already. If they have come to Economic Development, then -- and as you know, with legislation and with doing deals, you move things forward, a number of different things forward in order to achieve a goal. And when we talk about Exhibit A, we talk about a cooperative endeavor agreement. It may be that we have a cooperative endeavor agreement with an applicant separate and apart from this. If we do, we're going to plug in the terms and conditions that are going to fit this. And they may not necessarily fit what a clawback



## Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would be under a cooperative endeavor agreement, for example, for the number of employees required, but it's also going to have to fit in with what's going on with this parish, which is Exhibit B, which is a series of three or four approvals that need to be present. Exhibit B approves what's in Exhibit A in terms of the various things of jobs, the length of the contract, the percentage of the exemption, the penalty for not meeting the requirements of jobs, how the exemption would be dealt with under those circumstances. All of that needs to be formulated and discussed, but it's doable. not an insurmountable obstacle. I mean, we've all done deals; we've all put things together, that's, you know, if you have any type of -- even on your mortgage, that's putting together a whole bunch of documents that you have to sign at the same time. So we're confident that we can do that and we can move forward. And part of this is going to be having an open mind while we are doing it. I'm not talking about learning it while we're doing it. I'm talking about learning as you go along and as you experience things. But we're ready to take If people have projects, we can blend this into it and we can do what we need to do internally. We have done some drafts of Exhibit B. Exhibit A, we have many, many cooperative endeavor agreements we've already done



## Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

where I think we can fit this into it, and so, you know, 1 2 we're in a situation --MR. ADLEY: 3 4 Wile we'll moving on the final rules, the thing to do at this stage of the game is contact LED 5 6 and you will take it from there and make sure they walk through the right process to try to stay in line with 7 8 the executive order. MR. HOUSE: 9 10 Yes, sir. Absolutely. 11 MR. ADLEY: 12 And then if we do our business, because, 13 frankly, the rules are going to take months to get 14 adopted by the time they go through the Administrative Procedures Act. We all want to make sure that there's 15 16 still a process in place that will comply with what the Governor's wishes have been and comply if a business 17 savs "I want to move forward," and you're telling me 18 19 that step is simply contact your office and you will 20 walk them through it. MR. HOUSE: 21 22 Right. MR. ADLEY: 23



24

25

## Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

Okay.

MR. HOUSE:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we also have we are in the
process of setting up with the programs that we have
now, information gathering online that the Board has,
that the staff has for the board, the ITEP staff, and
that's going to expand the universe of knowledge about
all of these projects in order to fit into the
manufacturing determination, the jobs determination,
payroll determination and trying, also, have enough
information to where we can go to a particular parish or
government and have information to be able to tell them
this could by a sales tax impact of this business or
this could be, you know, if you give you know, this
is what you're millages are, this is what your revenue
was last year. They're going to know that already, but
how these impacts take place. We're giving guidance, by
the way. We're not dictating to anybody what they
should do, but we need as much information as possible
in order to give guidance.
MR. ADLEY:

But when you finish with that, I mean, it still comes back to this Board for approval.

#### MR. HOUSE:

Yes, sir.

#### MR. ADLEY:

We still have a role to play while we're



1	working through the process.
2	MR. HOUSE:
3	Yes, sir.
4	MR. ADLEY:
5	Major, you have a question?
6	MAJOR COLEMAN:
7	Yes. I want to know what mechanism are
8	we using to talk to the local government, these entities
9	that are going to be making a decision?
10	MR. PIERSON:
11	I'm happy to respond. Perhaps, if
12	Mr. Block concludes and I'll be the next one on the
13	agenda and I can comment some very comprehensive
<b>14</b>	information that I will request the Chairman
15	MR. ADLEY:
<b>L</b> 6	Why don't we do that. When they finish,
<b>L7</b>	you're going to make your presentations at that point.
18	MR. PIERSON:
19	Yes, sir.
20	MR. ADLEY:
21	And he'll cover then if that's okay with
22	you, Major.
23	MAJOR COLEMAN:
24	Sure. Sure.
25	MR. ADLEY:



1	Are there any other questions of Matthew
2	or Mr. House?
3	Matthew, I really want to thank you. I
4	apologize. I sent you to the wrong building. I
5	apologize.
6	MR. BLOCK:
7	That's the first time you've led me
8	astray, Mr. Adley.
9	MR. ADLEY:
10	I'm so glad to hear that. Thank you
11	very much.
12	MR. BLOCK:
13	Let me just tap on to something that you
14	just said, though, just to conclude here that you said
15	and so that the Board will continue to have a role in
16	this process.
17	The whole point of this is to provide
18	some guidance to the Board of what the Governor is going
19	to be looking for so that there can be some what I
20	think everybody can agree would be a bad result for this
21	program is if the LED went through its process, the
22	Board went through its process and then nobody had any
23	clue whatsoever whether or not the contract was going to
24	be approved or disapproved by the Governor. I think
25	that's I think what everybody would agree would not be a



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

good result, and so the whole point of this is to say
let's start this work on the beginning, and LED has done
a lot of that and the Board is doing it now, to ensure
that there's predictability there. Because I will tell
you, you know, when they say in the first day of
contracts in law school that signatures are mere
ornaments, the Governor does not believe that his
signature on these contracts are a mere ornament, but
that's how it's been treated for a long time. And so
the Governor is stating that he views his contusional
authority over to sign these contracts as something that
he is going to take seriously, and I think the executive
order and the discussions that we can continue to have
with LED and the Board are in line with that in that
we're trying to make sure that that authority he has is
predictable so that when there are contracts that go
through the process with LED, go through the process
with the Board of Industry and Commerce, there can be
some predictability that this contract meets the
standards that the Governor has set forth and so the
Governor is going to approve those contracts.

MR. SLONE:

You do know, Matthew -- can I call you

Matthew?

MR. BLOCK:



# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

1 Yes, sir. Please do. 2 MR. SLONE: 3 You used the word "some." You know, 4 that's not predictable to me. Some. I'm just sharing 5 that with you. 6 MR. BLOCK: Well, so... 7 8 MR. SLONE: 9 Everybody, if they do their job, we do 10 our job based upon the executive order, the rules, the 11 whole shot, "some" does not say that to the folks out 12 there that they're going to -- that he's going to sign 13 off. 14 MR. BLOCK: 15 I'm not hesitating on my response. I'm 16 hesitating trying to recall where I used the word 17 "some," because I thought what I had said, and maybe I 18 need to make it more clear, that what we are hoping to 19 create a process that when those contracts go through 20 this process and then are approved by the Board of 21 Industry and Commerce, that those contracts will be in a 22 matter that they are consistent with the executive order 23 and then will be approved by the Governor. 24 MR. SLONE: 25 Okay.



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MR. BLOCK:

So if I indicated that once those processes go forward and those contracts are then consistent with what the Governor's set forth, go through the process and are approved by the Board of Industry and Commerce, that then some of them will be approved. That was not what I intended to communicate, so I did I apologize.

#### MAJOR COLEMAN:

I think that word "predictability."

#### MR. MILLER:

Some predictability.

#### MR. ADLEY:

I think you said some predictability.

#### MR. BLOCK:

Okay. But I do think that's -- I can't judge how a particular applicant is going to view this process as being predictable or not. In other words, where a particular applicant may not view the Governor's -- and I guess I'm talking about some of the input we've gotten so far from the executive order where there seems to be some uncertainty in the process now for some industry, and so what I guess I'm indicating is that maybe there will never be, in the minds of some, enough predictability that as they go forward, but I



think the whole point of this is to create much more 1 2 certainty and predictability than we have right now, because right now, there's no requirement that the 3 4 Governor go through the process. There's no requirement that the Governor set forth any standards by which he 5 6 approves or disapproves of ITEP contracts. So whatever 7 we're doing, whatever the executive order accomplishes, 8 it provides for more predictability than we had the day before the executive order existed. 9 10 So when I'm indicating that there's some predictability, there is more than was existing 11 12 previously. So I'm hoping that it will be predictable 13 that once we get through this process lined with the 14 goals set further in the executive order, that those 15 contracts will be ones that will be then approved by the 16 Governor. 17

MR. SLONE:

Thank you. Okay.

MR. BLOCK:

I hope that answers your question. I'll try and not use that word "some" again.

MR. SLONE:

I'm fine. Thanks.

MR. ADLEY:

I think the other side of that coin has



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been, Matthew, is that in years past, it had been so predictable that if you just present it, it's going to then be rubber stamped and you're going to get it. That is going to change. There will be specific guidelines that we will follow, or at least me. I can't speak for the entire board.

#### MR. HOUSE:

If I could add one thing to that is that even with the changes we have now, there is still, in my opinion, more predictability in Louisiana for businesses than there is in adjoining states based on what I've seen in terms of how they make determinations.

#### MR. ADLEY:

There's no question. Every report that we see tells us Louisiana, from a tax perspective, is much better for a business to locate in than any other state in America.

Before we let you go, Matthew, I have to share with you and with the Board that during the last session, to give you an example of that, someone who was in one of our last meetings asked me to get with the CEO of a very large energy company who was headquartered in Texas, and I asked him the question, "Why are you in Texas? Your tax advantages are better in Louisiana," and he said, "The reason is simple, that the stability



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in Texas is so much better than Louisiana because you're constantly changing, ebb and flow, all of the time." In Texas, their tax structure, for instance, is totally different than ours. It's very dependable. It's more than ours, but it's very dependable, and they're willing to pay more for the stability. So hopefully at the end of this process that's what we're working toward is getting to that point to where that CEO looks up and says, "Yes, there's stability in Louisiana, and that's where we want to be."

I was shocked by his answer. I was, because he had one of his plant managers from Louisiana sitting with him who explained the tax advantages are better in Louisiana than they are in Texas, but they prefer to be there simply because their state government wasn't constantly having to fight over budgets, expenditures, so forth and so on. They had stability. So I think that's the driving factor here, and not only this, but a lot of things that I find this Governor is doing to try and get that stability.

Are there any other questions for those two gentlemen?

I want to thank both of you. Richard, you'll be with us, I guess, throughout.

Matthew, thank you for coming. Do you



need directions back to the Capitol? I know I sent you 1 2 to the wrong place. 3 MR. BLOCK: 4 I can work that out. 5 MR. ADLEY: 6 Thank you very much. I will tell all of you that a number of 7 8 the Board members have to be out of here by noon, so I'm 9 going to ask the staff, Don and others, we'll try to 10 move quickly as we can. The lengthy part of the meeting 11 will be more about when we start going through those 12 rules and the questions that we have about that. 13 Thank you for coming. Thank you very 14 much. 15 MR. BLOCK: 16 Thank you. 17 MR. ADLEY: 18 All right. Don, you want to come on in? 19 You had shared with me, and I don't know with others, in 20 an e-mail the results of a meeting that you had with the tax commission. I found some of the things in that 21 22 e-mail to be really interesting, so I'd ask that you 23 might give a summary to the Board of that and whatever 24 else you would like to discuss.



25

# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

MR. PIERSON:

Thank you very much for that. I'll certainly include those elements in my remarks today. Thank you for the opportunity and the important time that you're investing in this process.

Matthew's and the Governor's comments, particularly around predictability, I mean, if we do a great job here of establishing these rules, then we will be able to guide with, as we close to as we can, absolute clarity to that client through the process of the Board and onto the Governor's desk for that signature. That's our goal is to help craft those rules so there's a very clear understanding all of way through the process, and I hope that amplifies what we were talking about there essentially.

To make sure, you know sort of that full-view situation awareness of a lot of activities that have been ongoing since the 24th of June and when the issue of executive order was issued, we have been very, very busy. This is your second meeting in the community, both in Baton Rouge and across the state. We've had over 20 engagements to include going over fact-to-face with LABI and address to LMA. We want to be very conscientious that we are communicating with all of our elected officials that this is a process. Something's happening here, and it's going to be



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

different on that far end than it's been in the past. I believe it's going to be better because the futures that we're including are around the areas of accountability and governance, a local voice for those that have having their millages impacted. So being very proactive around the State right now.

A portion of that is to listen to the A portion of that is to gather the questions concerns. so that we can communicate those internally so that the staff has a chance to really get into the weeds on how things proceed in terms of our recommendations back to the Rules Committee, which we hope to begin to bring you some drafts. We don't envision that we can answer all of the issues that are before us. Some that maybe you're aware of that we're not aware of, but maybe we can make some good progress by identifying what I'll call the low-hanging fruit, things that we can all agree on that we think are basic tenets. We can bring those drafts to the committee for adoption. Not to the full Board yet. We don't want to see it going forward to the full Board until the committee would feel like we have that comprehensive package of what would go before the Board. So we are working in that regard.

Certainly we're hearing a lot of comment around concerns and anxieties about renewals. Certainly



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we feel that those parties with executed contracts are going to encounter their renewal process, and it will be recommended by LED to the CNI Board that those renewals go forward with the exception that the reason that contract is divided into 505 is if that company has pollutions, violations on record with the EPA, if that company has tax liens with our department of revenue. There can be some aggrievance reasons where the company wouldn't receive their renewal, but it will be the recommendation from the department. And we're trying to bring some of this anxiety level down where there's great concern about the renewal of existing contracts.

We also have some --

#### MR. ADLEY:

Let me ask you this question, Don, before you move on from that.

Looking at the track record, I guess is the best way I know how to describe it, one of the things I noted from your meeting was a concern over renewing ITEP over pieces of property that had already been depreciated, and basically just replacement of a piece of equipment. Are y'all going to be looking closer at that now than we possibly have in the past, or is that just a standard accepted procedure?

MR. PIERSON:



## Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Certainly we will. We know that's in the post-6/24 environment, and those are some of the comments that I'll include that we had with the tax commission and that I'll get to in just a minute.

We do some have some applications that were not approved because they were incomplete or not It's not a large number of applications that didn't make it from that May and June batch that we're talking to in the field right now. It's a fairly small universe of somewhere under 20, I believe, of applicants, but since they didn't get that approval, although they felt like they had their application, they didn't meet deadlines, they didn't meet comprehensive qualifications of what we needed to bring that applicant opportunity to the Board. We're having that dialog, and in some cases or in all cases, to make this the easiest pathway, we're asking for job certifications related to So just know that that's a gray area that we are trying to work through. They were not certified at the That consequence was of their making, and 6/24 meeting. now we're trying to assist them as best we can in moving forward.

So, again, big picture, lot of issues, lot of items. If we can take some of the easier ones that we all have agreement on, we'll bring a resolution



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to your next rules committee meeting, which I believe is on the 22nd, and you'll be provided that prior to that meeting for review. But we may be able to begin making some forward progress through that submission of proposed opportunities that are agreeable.

The more complex issues, the ones that Chairman Adley started to talk about, reporting a lot of research against that, we have to investigate, work on definitions, review the quality of our work. This is coming back to some of the issues such as the definition of manufacturing. Another one is the idea that presently there is required pollution control equipment that would not qualify for ITEP, but in the case of a company that wants to have a green footprint and installs additional pollution control equipment, would that be acceptable from the Governor's standpoint.

Certainly some of the issues that are around renewals.

We do have, as Richard House has pointed out, the drafts for Exhibit A and Exhibit B that we worked up internal. We want to take those drafts externally to some of our stakeholders and get some final input before we feel like we have that ready to bring back to you.

We would note that particularly for this audience, you don't have to wait for Exhibit A and



Exhibit B. Just as the point was made that an
appointment can be responsive today to a company, we are
not going to stand in the way of moving companies
forward that meet the qualifications for the program.
If we have to call a special meeting of the Commerce and
Industry Board meeting for a big project, we'll do that,
but the templates that we're making for Exhibit A and
Exhibit B are to provide comfort to those communities
that may not have legal staff or economic development
possibly, but it's not going to be the only way. It is
a pathway and a pathway that's clear and well-defined,
totally usable, but I don't want to get hung up on the
idea of a long debate over our templates that we create
in a sense that we are going to slow down commerce in
any way. Each deal is different. We want to engage
each situation and each set of circumstances, but at the
same time, we want to support the parishes. So if
Rapides needs assistance, Ouachita needs assistance,
Calcasieu needs assistance, we are going to work for
them.

So we have a larger set of more complex issues. We're putting resources against it so that we can bring you the most comprehensive suggestions on how we will present to you if we agree is a great way to proceed and that will be open to your input and debate



### TORRES REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and hopefully eventually adopt. And we'll take that in bite-sized pieces with the easiest ones first with significant resources going against the balance of that.

One of the programs that we did take some counsel from Tax Assessor Chehardy on, again, this was part of your outreach effort to talk to a lot of organizations and a lot of individuals, his comment, just so they're shared with the committee here today, is that he suggests driving each local entity into a simplistic decision on when or how in their ITEP adoption.

The back side of that is all of these deals can become very complex, and the more you get into all of those complexities and debate that at the local level, the more you kind of get joined in that quicksand and red tape and inaction. So his guidance at one point is to make things as simplistic as possible for adoption at the local level. He suggests gearing all locals to uniformity with the terms in his contracts.

When we say CEA as part of Exhibit A, Exhibit A is established to establish to accountability. In the past, if you're going to have an ITEP contract, a 10-year tax exemption, you do an advanced notification just saying, "I'm going to build a plant. I think the plant's going to cost this much money. I think I'm



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to have this many people at the end of the process," then that advanced notification is tucked in the file and never sees the light of day again. The change here is Exhibit A, what we're calling a cooperative endeavor agreement, is giving the program its grounding in the constitution by which the parish can give millages to the company only in the case where a company has something of value to present back to the community. So this CEA is essentially a declaration by the corporation of what they're going to provide to Tangipahoa Parish, "I'm going to build a plant; I'm going to employ this many people; this is going to be the payroll; this is how long the term that I'm going to give you assurances that that's what you get, " so that five years later, when they've invested and automated, instead of having 100 jobs, only have 50 jobs. In the past, that 10-year contract ran, it didn't matter what the job count was. There was no enforceability; it was no accountability. Today there will be a cooperative endeavor agreement asking what they're going to do, and the only requirement is to do what you said you're going to do if you want to continue to enjoy the tax abatement. Very fair. So uniformity in those contracts, that ability, that declaration that the company makes is something that Chehardy asked us to



### TORRES REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contemplate.

And at the end of the conversation, one more item that is important for us to acknowledge and discuss in this is a greater coordination between LED and the tax commission. LED currently collects an affidavit of final cost to capture information at the end of a project. That's what's before you when you vote on your ITEP contract. It's no longer that estimate from the advanced notification. Now it's a final affidavit of final cost and a sharing of the affidavit of final cost and a look at the depreciation of that aspect and how it goes on the tax rolls and having more of a dialog and intradepartmental communication between LED and the tax commission is an important area that he believes we can follow up on and that that's going to bring some better results across the board.

The last thing I want to mention is that, you know, from our perspective, and to drive home Chairman Adley's point, this improvement to this program, making it more accountable and giving the local government a voice at the table has not impacted our ability to compete by one dollar. We can still go 100 percent for 10 years. We can still go toe-to-toe with all of the other state. And, oh, by the way, all of the



### Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

other states are doing this. They're all required a local voice at the table. So I've gone back over to LABI, who put in the media that the program was gutted. I don't fish as much as Robert does, so I had to look up "gutted" in the dictionary and it said, "Rendered useless," and this program has not been rendered useless.

On the 6th of August, my colleague, Ed Mornay (sic) indicates that the recent proposals to change the ITEP would direct its emphasis towards mega sites -- and that's not what we're doing here. It doesn't direct emphasis to mega sites -- and would severely restrict incentives to be invested in existing business, and I don't belive for a moment that that's what you're doing either. So I will continue the message that we're doing something important here. Thank you for your time and attention that's directed to that, but the message that you'll hear from me is that the Governor has brought us a program that's going to be more accountable. If the parish signs up for a deal, they get the deal. We had to close essentially it's a loophole.

And then the other part of that is it's not decided in Baton Rouge what your tax impact is when Wenn Parish or Rapides Parish, Tangipahoa Parish, that



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parish gets a voice.

I'll be happy to answer any questions that you may have for me.

#### MR. ADLEY:

Don, real quickly, there's three items I netted in the message that you had with him. One was their concern over the renewal, the other was steering the locals to some uniformity, and the third that I didn't hear you mention but would like to know how we might deal with that. They said the tax commission wants to begin tracking the depreciation of exempted properties. And when I first read that, I just said, "Oh, they want to track the amount of money that was going to the locals." I don't think that's what they're saying. Tell me exactly what you got out of that from him, and is there anything that LED can do to work with them to ensure someone's actually tracking this property to make sure we're not just doing maintenance ITEPs, and I think that's what they're talking about here.

#### MR. PIERSON:

Well, the tax commission is essentially the association of all the assessors, and all of the assessors have a responsibility and there's a lot of qualifications and clarifications that are embedded in the law about how frequently they have to go out and do



appraisals, so certainly when we do an affidavit of
final cost. Sharing that with them will give them the
starting point that on the 5th of June, there was a
\$100-million asset on the ground. Four years later,
they'll come back and assess the value of that, even
though they're not collecting taxes on it because it's
exempt for that 10-year period. So I think that their
idea is, in part, as you go along then, they don't get
to look at just that initial \$100-million investment
because four years later or three years later, maybe
there's a capital improvement, some of it's through
these various programs here that they may have multiple
exemptions running and it becomes a very complex picture
for them to analyze. So the idea of us sharing that
affidavit of final cost and having more dialog with
them, exchanging information, I think can help them have
the most accurate picture of the valuation of what's on
the ground and then the valuation of the associated
multiple contracts, in many cases, relative to the
facility that's had improvements and various
miscellaneous capital additions that were also issued
contracts.

### MR. ADLEY:

Don, let me conclude with this so that I fully under this. This suggested steering locals to



## Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES
www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

uniformity in terms of the contracts such as you don't
end up with the school boards saying they're in for 80
percent, municipalities saying they're in for 70
percent, the sheriff saying something completely
different, which brings to light is going to be a really
important issue before we get through. One is I know
when I pay my personal taxes, I pay different amounts to
all of them. I write different checks. That's not a
problem for me. Maybe it's a problem for business. I'm
not sure. We need to know if that is a problem, and we
also need to know if it is a problem and we're going to
get to some uniformity. The only other alternative to
that is some proposal where you might cap ITEP where you
say it's not at 100 percent; it's at 80 percent and you
either make the decision you're in or you're out. That
issue and how we deal with that is going to become, I
think, from what I'm hearing and seeing, really
critical. So at some point, I'd really like to get from
y'all is this a problem, one saying 70, one saying 80,
or not, and if it is, how do we create that uniformity.
MD DIFDCOM.

MR. PIERSON:

So I believe that it is not, and I think that the Governor fully considered that he did have the ability to come back and put into the executive order, "Here's what I'm going to require: All school board



### TORRES REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.

millages paid, et cetera." He could do that constitutionally. What he instead did was give that voice back to the parishes, and it's going to be different in every parish. And parishes are going to compete. They compete today. You saw that multi-billion-dollar Exxon project in the paper. I really didn't want you to see that in the paper, but for other reasons, they had to disclose it. All our offers and issues relative to property tax have already been negotiated, are already part of these, and they're on the table and we're in a very competitive position on that. We have to respect that.

In large part, the sophisticated parishes have been in play in economic development for a long time. They're going to be very comfortable. We are going to depend on the support system for our rural parish for underdeveloped areas that get an opportunity and may not fully understand that, and that's where Richard said we're going to have to give some guidance. But it hurts our ability to negotiate if we're backed into a corner that says you always have to do this cap. That's our perspective. We're sitting at this table because after we leave, we go out and win projects for our state, and that just doesn't mean by recruiting somebody else. That means taking people that are here,



## Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the companies that are here, and helping them grow. So the more flexibility that we have to meet in the middle on some things is helpful with this.

#### MR. WINDHAM:

Matthew, I appreciate you coming and giving us the Governor's perspective on this.

Is there a situation if the locals come together -- and this is for the benefit of the locals -- if Bobby decides that he wants to do 80 percent, do you envision that the Governor would say, "No. I'm only going to do 70"?

#### MR. BLOCK:

That I'm only going to do 70?

#### MR. WINDHAM:

Seventy percent. I mean, if the locals come together, decide it's worth it for them to forgo 20 percent, is it envisioned that he could come back and say, "No. I'm going to do 30 percent. I'm going to restrict them by 30 percent"?

#### MR. BLOCK:

Well, I mean, the whole point of this -and I'll allow -- certainly defer some of this to Don
and to Richard, but I think the whole point of this is
to get that local input in the first place, and so it's
not to dictate to the local government what their input



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

should be. It's, in fact, the other way around to say,
"Okay. We want to get your input in to see whether you
think this project is a good idea, whether or not you
think it is going to be something helpful to your parish
and whether or not that tradeoff that you make of losing
that tax revenue by having some industry or some plant
or whatever it is put in your parish makes sense for
you." So I wouldn't imagine that that scenario that you
just indicated would be something that the Governor
would say, "No. This is how we're going to have it
done, in a more restrictive package than what the parish
is willing to consider on."

#### MR. PIERSON:

And I would add on to that if I may is that my sense of this is that the Governor is not trying to assert himself as a third-party in negotiations. He's looking to the parish for acknowledgement and consent. They know that the fee plan is not going on their tax rolls and they are supportive of that at whatever they negotiated.

And keep in mind, from an economic develop professional approach as well, the communities have the ability to go out and work on pilots and they won't even come see you and that contract won't even go across the Governor's desk. So there's other ways to



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

negotiate directly with the parish and do tax abatement without doing the formal ITEP process. So that's another reason why I believe that it was a hardball negotiation. It still would not involve -- direct involvement with the Governor would be very unusual. It's a hypothetical question, but the concept is around acknowledgement and consent.

And I can assure you that the Governor has a full-time job. He's not looking for another one of becoming the mediator and the chief of each one of these projects.

#### MR. WINDHAM:

And I think that will provide the locals with some sense of, you know, sharing in the project and sharing in the ability to do this and make commitments from their level.

#### MR. PIERSON:

And what Assessor Chehardy is speaking to is he can go in the room and agree and come out and tell us what they were, and I know it's very difficult because we've empowered the parish or the municipality and the school board and the sheriff. The sheriff needs to know because he's going to run the tax rolls; right? He may or may not even have a dog in the hunt, but that's why he's there.



### TORRES REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1	You're looking at the two major bodies
2	in those parishes, and we couldn't get down in the weeds
3	with every fire district and water district and library
4	district, et cetera, et cetera. So it does put some
5	additional weight on the shoulders of the parish
6	president and school board president, but it's about
7	shaping their economic future.
8	MR. ADLEY:
9	And it's very important, you made the
10	comment before, every state in America except for
11	Louisiana basically does it that way.
12	MR. PIERSON:
13	Thirty-eight other states that have this
14	program, that's what they do.
15	MR. ADLEY:
16	And so they clearly have found a way to
17	work through it. I got you.
18	Any other questions of these two
19	gentlemen?
20	(No response.)
21	MR. ADLEY:
22	Thank you very much, Don. We appreciate
23	the update.
24	And now I'm going to try get to the meat
25	of this, the real meat I think everybody wanted to hear



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is we tried to move through some of these rules that we're currently operating under and what some suggestions the committee might have for those.

So, Melissa, I don't know who's going to be doing that, but y'all want to come on up now?

Matthew, I encourage you, if you want to hang around just a minute, you'll be interested in a couple of these rules. They're really interesting.
Unless you've got to go.

What I'm going to ask the committee -does everyone have copy of the same thing that I have,
the thing y'all sent out highlighted in blue and yellow?
And you turned around and changed it for me in gray so I
can read it. Got it.

As I remember now, the blue ones or the gray ones are some administrative changes that y'all have recommended. The stuff they see highlighted in yellow are things that you think need to be addressed because of the executive order.

#### MS. CLAPINSKI:

That's correct. So nothing is -- the rules are as they exist today, except for those portions that are in blue. Those that are in blue are some administrative cleanup. I think most of them are things that are part of the department's practice right now



1	that we're just trying
2	MR. ADLEY:
3	Okay. I see some that are in blue, and
4	it looks like existing rules, and then I see some stuff
5	in red inside that blue. Is that the proposed changes,
6	what you put in red?
7	MS. CLAPINSKI:
8	Correct.
9	MR. ADLEY:
10	And if I just look at the normal type,
11	that's what the current rule is?
12	MS. CLAPINSKI:
13	Correct. The yellow is current rules.
14	It's just highlighted for y'all to notice because those
15	are things that appear to be inconsistent.
16	MR. ADLEY:
17	Well, some of your blue and your gray
18	is, too; right or wrong? Let's go to the first page.
19	MS. CLAPINSKI:
20	Yes, sir.
21	MR. ADLEY:
22	The first page is Industrial Ad Valorum
23	503(a)(2).
24	MS. CLAPINSKI:
25	Yes, sir.



1	MR. ADLEY:
2	The first one that I have on my list,
3	and you've highlighted that as an administrative
4	change
5	MS. CLAPINSKI:
6	Change, yes, sir.
7	MR. ADLEY:
8	into that first sentence. That's the
9	current rule; right?
10	MS. CLAPINSKI:
11	The way the current rule reads is you
12	have a big "A," and it touches all of that part at the
13	top. That first paragraph where there is a new "1,"
14	that was part of the original paragraph, the phrase,
15	"Beginning of construction shall mean." So the red is
16	changes to the current rule to make the rest of the
17	changes sort of fit into the section.
18	MR. ADLEY:
19	Okay.
20	MS. CLAPINSKI:
21	Yes, sir.
22	MR. ADLEY:
23	My only question on that proposal that
24	you had, and I invite other members of the committee, as
25	we're going to hit each one of these, when we get to



them, if you have a question about them, please raise 1 2 your hand because what I hope to accomplish today when we go through this is hear some of the discussion and 3 4 then try to come back with a proposed set of rules making some of the changes that we discuss here today. 5 6 MS. CLAPINSKI: Yes, sir. 7 8 MR. ADLEY: 9 Not going to be voting on anything 10 today. Just trying to make some proposals to get them 11 out there so we get something back in front of us. 12 MS. CLAPINSKI: 13 Sure. 14 MR. ADLEY: 15 But your very first one, the first page, 16 which is an administrative change --17 MS. CLAPINSKI: 18 Yes, sir. 19 MR. ADLEY: 20

The only question I have, you referenced that there's no need for time or days to get this proposal back to CIB, to the Board. Does that need to be part of this administrative change or can you explain to me how that works? It says you have to be filed -- "Advanced notice expired and void after 12 months. The



21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

estimated ending date notification amended by applicant
if the applicant made prior to," and then blah, blah,
blah, blah. Do you need any language here requiring
something going back to the Board in some specified
period of time if this happens? That's all I'm asking.
MS. CLAPINSKI:
No, sir. It's just we had an
inconsistency between when an advanced certification
expired and when an application had to be filed. We
were trying to put those two to work together. That's
all that intended to do. It has nothing to do with when
something will come to the Board. No, sir.
MR. ADLEY:
Did anybody else have any questions on
that item?
(No response.)
MR. ADLEY:
The next one on the same page, I notice
that Ronnie had sent in some question about now would be
DE, no more than three applications.
MS. CLAPINSKI:

### 21

Well, I would want to touch just -- that dealt with the one that's in two. The second actual administrative change would be the one, the paragraph right below it that's now the cap "B," and what happened



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there is that's language that we have in all of our other program rules that we're just duplicating here, which says that we basically do not allow you to add a program to an advance later. This is just clarifying that when you file an advance, that advance is only good for the programs you select on that advance at the time. So everything you want to participate in needs to be on that advance. So that's what "B" is doing.

That, again, is current practice of the department that we're just trying to get into the rules. Again, it does not have any affect on when or how things are taken to the Board.

#### MR. ADLEY:

Got you. Okay.

Why don't you drop down to "E" then. I think that's where Ronnie had this question about the three applications.

#### MS. CLAPINSKI:

Yes, sir. Sure.

So my understanding is this is one of those other things that is currently a practice of the department that we were intending to get put into rules, and my understanding -- I wasn't here when the change occurred, but it used to be that there was no limitation on the number of applications that you could file on an



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

advance. And my understanding is what they saw was that the company never felt the need to file, everything became one big project and they just kept adding and adding and adding to it. So to clearly define, you know, what the project was, they put a limitation on the number of advances, and if it was so big that you need more than that, then you need to file a new advance to put the department on notice.

So, again, that was the intent of that is, again, part of the department's current practice, and we were just intending to put it into rules. If you want to change that number to a different number or, I mean, however you want to handle that, but that was the purpose of that language in here.

#### MR. SLONE:

The question I had was based upon the fact that there are some projects out there that are long term, and I stated to you guys four to six years, and they put stuff in the service incrementally, does this, you know, play an important part in that? Because we're talking three applications, whereas maybe if we had room in there for additional applications because they put in certain things in service incrementally. How does that...

#### MS. CLAPINSKI:



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Like I said, my understanding of the actual administration of that is if they go beyond the three, they just file another advance, so they get three more applications. So I think the only additional work or cost is the actual filing of another advance and the \$250 now that goes along with that. But we have been, for the most part, holding everyone to those, as far as I know, the three applications per advance, and that's been for quite a while. I don't know exactly when that changed. When I came in '11, I believe that was the practice.

MR. SLONE:

Okay.

MR. ADLEY:

I'm like you. I'm trying to follow this one because if I'm looking at a very large project, I just figure I'm looking at one application. I got this new plant, this new facility coming in, here's their application for what they are about to do. I assume the multiple applications come in because since we're not going to have the MCAs anymore and you're going to have these ongoing renewals, I assume that's where the multiple number really comes into play.

MS. CLAPINSKI:

And maybe the removal of the replacement



1	parts and those types of things may do away with the
2	need for this because I think what happened is maybe the
3	advance started for the building of this facility and
4	then it came online with pieces every two or three years
5	and then they wanted to replace things so they never
6	filed a new advance, they just did another application.
7	It was a constant rolling application, I believe, for
8	one advance, and they felt some need to put some sort of
9	parameters on how many they could do on a single
10	advance, and three is what they came up with. I can't
11	tell you why because I wasn't there at the time, why
12	three was selected.
13	MR. ADLEY:
14	Yeah. I think
15	MR. SLONE:
16	That's my question.
17	MR. ADLEY:
18	What I suggest to you is you might want
19	to track this suggested change along with what
20	ultimately gets changed in the rules altogether because
21	you may or may not need that provision anymore.
22	MS. CLAPINSKI:
23	Sure.
24	MR. SLONE:
25	Right.



1	MR. ADLEY:
2	And I agree with you. I kept saying
3	I kept going back and forth. I really don't understand
4	the multiple-action application. I don't get that. But
5	I understand the renewals on the smaller projects. I
6	do. But I'm just going to suggest for the committee, we
7	might want to track that as a plausible-needed change
8	provided what the outcome is for these other changes,
9	particularly the ones in yellow that are going to be put
10	in line with the executive order.
11	MS. CLAPINSKI:
12	Sure. Yes, sir.
13	MR. ADLEY:
14	Was there more, Ronnie? I'm sorry.
15	MR. SLONE:
16	No. For that one, that's I like
17	that, for data.
18	MS. CLAPINSKI:
19	Sure. No problem. I'll be happy to do
20	that.
21	MR. SLONE:
22	Thank you.
23	MR. ADLEY:
24	And the next, I'm on Page 2 now, and I'm
25	looking at "Miscellaneous Capital Additions."



1	MS. CLAPINSKI:
2	Yes, sir.
3	MR. ADLEY:
4	There were two things couple things I
5	noticed. First thing is I'm unsure why it's needed
6	anymore if everything is going to be advanced notice.
7	MS. CLAPINSKI:
8	And it may not be. This is just
9	highlighted to ensure that this is current rule.
LO	MR. ADLEY:
11	I got you. And, look, I appreciate
12	that. I'm just supporting that you did that because I
13	think it relates to the executive order, and so my
<b>L4</b>	question to you would be, if everything's requiring an
15	advanced notice, why do you need that at all?
<b>L</b> 6	MS. CLAPINSKI:
L7	I'm not sure that you do.
18	MR. ADLEY:
19	And the last one I had was in Item E.
20	It caught my eye that said, "If the application is
21	submitted after the filing deadline, the 10-year term,"
22	and my understanding is there is no 10-year term.
23	MS. CLAPINSKI:
24	Yes, sir.
25	MR. ADLEY:



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I see 10 years have been in the
rules, and I don't know how it got there, but I'm going
to suggest to you that you, the staff, need to look very
carefully, do we need any of this in the rules if
there's not going to be an MCA. This is strictly for
those things that do not give notice, so if the
executive order requires everything to give notice, it
appears to me you don't really need that.

And I would welcome the public, when it comes their time to speak, anything that we're talking about up here that you disagree with or you see differently, you need to tell us, but that's just one person looking at it. That's how I see it. If you're not going to have it anymore, why is that in the rules?

MS. CLAPINSKI:

Yes, sir.

MR. ADLEY:

Anything else, members?

(No response.)

MR. ADLEY:

All right. Let's go to the next page starting with Item F. I know Ronnie had questions on this one. I have a number of questions. I guess probably the most important one I have is down there at 507(a), and your definition of manufacturing is drawn



	C&I MEETING Page 6
1	straight from the constitutional language.
2	MS. CLAPINSKI:
3	Yes, sir.
4	MR. ADLEY:
5	That doesn't define anything, but the
6	constitution gives this Board the authority to establish
7	the rules and to define. We need a definition of
8	manufacturing.
9	This is, Richard, why I was asking you
10	earlier when you mentioned court cases, that really got
11	my attention. We need some language there. Whatever
12	you get, however you come out to define what
13	manufacturing really is to clear up any confusion over
14	that.
15	I might suggest, too, you might look to
16	anything the United States Government uses. Somebody.
17	We need some definition other than just straight
18	language out of the constitution that gives no clarity
19	at all. Does that make sense to y'all?
20	The other one I had here was to define
21	"addition." Item A, you've got addition used herein.
22	Is there a better way to define that to ensure that it's
23	just not maintenance, that we're really dealing with an



25

# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

addition or are we not doing what the tax commission

suggested, we're just not deprecating the equipment,

then replacing it and going back and getting it all over 1 2 I think that's important. Ronnie, you had some questions on this 3 4 issue. 5 MR. SLONE: 6 Yeah. MS. CLAPINSKI: 7 8 I think it's on the blue language; is 9 that correct? 10 MR. SLONE: 11 I was on the blue language, "50 Yeah. 12 percent of activity on a site must be manufacturing," 13 and it goes back to what Secretary Pierson said, we've 14 got to come up with a definition of manufacturing. 15 we try to use NAICS' codes, some are in the threes, some 16 are in the twos, it just depends. If you want that long 17 laundry list, then so be it, but... 18 MS. CLAPINSKI: 19 That's correct. And I will tell you 20 that blue is another thing that has been practice for 21 the department for a few years at least and that we 22 were -- it was sort of on a laundry list before this 23 executive order ever came into place to have put into

#### MR. ADLEY:



rules.

24

25

1	I don't understand the 50 percent at
2	all. I don't. If the ITEP applies to manufacturing,
3	why does the 50 percent come into play?
4	MS. CLAPINSKI:
5	Well, it's how to determine
6	manufacturing establishment. So if 90 percent of what
7	they do is something completely different and 10 percent
8	of it is doing some small manufacturing, is that a
9	manufacturing establishment as a whole?
10	MR. ADLEY:
11	If it is 10 percent, then 10 percent of
12	the facility is all that should be able to apply.
13	MR. SLONE:
14	Right.
15	MS. CLAPINSKI:
16	Okay.
17	MR. ADLEY:
18	More important than saying play the game
19	of 50 percent. If you've got manufacturing, you got it,
20	but only
21	MR. SLONE:
22	If it's 29 percent
23	MR. ADLEY:
24	This was the problem for me in our first
25	meeting was someone walked in and said, "I've got desks



1	and computers and those things that's part of	
2	manufacturing, well, in my mind, that's not.	
3	MS. CLAPINSKI:	
4	I understand.	
5	MR. ADLEY:	
6	So the 50 percent, in lieu of just using	
7	a 50 percent, they ought to get the ITEP for whatever	
8	the manufacturing is, but it only ought to be for a very	
9	clear definition that we would come up with in that	
10	above paragraph to what manufacturing is.	
11	MS. CLAPINSKI:	
12	And I think that's fine.	
13	MR. ADLEY:	
14	I think that, for me, is a better	
15	approach. The members may disagree.	
16	Go ahead. I'm sorry.	
17	MR. WINDHAM:	
18	I've got a quick question. When you say	
19	"activity," how do you define "activity"?	
20	MS. CLAPINSKI:	
21	We have allowed the company to come in	
22	and argue a we look usually at profit, then we let	
23	them come in and we let them make the case to us, and so	
24	various different things have been used.	
25	MR. WINDHAM:	



1	So it could be revenue, could be volume		
2	of products?		
3	MS. CLAPINSKI:		
4	Exactly. And we let them come in, and		
5	the department made the determination. I don't have a		
6	problem like I said, this was just a practice of the		
7	previous administration that we were attempting to put		
8	in the rules prior to this executive order, so if that		
9	changes, we will put in whatever we need to.		
10	MR. HOUSE:		
11	I would add it's not that we will		
12	give you as much information as possible from the cases		
13	and any other reliable sources, but at the end of the		
14	day, you still have some discretion to exercise and		
15	the case is also supported the exercise of that		
16	discretion. Probably, you know, the most recent case is		
17	the Bunkie case that		
18	MR. ADLEY:		
19	Richard, here		
20	MR. HOUSE:		
21	that involved a whole lot of		
22	different factors.		
23	MR. ADLEY:		
24	Richard, here's the problem: Even		
25	though giving us the authority to exercise that		



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

decision, I wanted to remain inside what the constitution wants.

MR. HOUSE:

No question about that.

MR. ADLEY:

For example, I'm going to take you to the next step, Paragraph B, right below that and then Paragraph D. In Paragraph B, it allows for ITEP, it said the facility's leased property is eligible for the exemption. Now, here's the exemption, this is the case that I talked about a moment ago, and it creates some concern, you have a manufacturing facility, they have ITEP and then they go out and contract with various other parties to provide services to that facility, but they are not manufacturers. They don't manufacture anything. They provide a service and they are under this rule getting ITEP. That's why I think all of this section, in this definition of manufacturing, we're going to have to figure out a way to clearly define this because, at least in my eyes, and I think in the eyes of some other people, that is not manufacturing. That is If the guy who owned it his self, that's not. manufacturing, but if he goes out to get the third-party to do it who is not a manufacturer, then you're creating a lot of other ITEP for people who are clearly not



### Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES
www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

manufacturing a project, which brings me to Item D.

MS. CLAPINSKI:

Yes, sir.

MR. ADLEY:

"Capitalize Materials," and you put there, "Some examples are." I got that and I understand the examples, but I think "examples" is not a good word because then the door's wide open for anything. needs to be more specific language, I believe, as you deal with what that is, and only you know what that is. I know I don't. I doubt any of the other members really know what it is. But, for example, that's where I think you get desks, computers and paperclips. What I learned at our first meeting was, someone made the statement, if we capitalize the cost, then it's ITEP, and I don't think that's manufacturing inside the view of the constitution. I don't think that's what the public expected. I don't think the public expected you to have a choice between an immediate write-off, which is a write-off on your income tax, or you can capitalize it, depreciate it off your income tax and take the ITEP. That's a double dip, and I don't think that's what manufacturing ITEP was designed to do. It appears to me that's where we've headed, that's what happened.



### Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

MR. HOUSE:

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES
www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The constitution says "manufacturing plant" in support of what you're saying, so...

#### MR. ADLEY:

Yeah. I think that definition is going to be just so critical to what we are doing here.

That's why I was really intrigued by your court cases.

Anybody else on this page before I move to the next?

#### MR. SLONE:

Just one other thing, just a thought on the single, which one is that 507(a), but it's Number 2, there, for a contiguous piece of property, I'm not sure if anybody else thinks that it's going to be a concern that you're talking about within the same fence line. Depending upon the footprint of that organization, it may not be within the same fence line.

#### MS. CLAPINSKI:

Certainly. I think we have to look at how the assessor assesses, and so that may be. And that's a definition that's taken from another one of our programs. I mean, we can certainly look to see if that's consistent with how the assessor -- because the assessor has to have an address attached to go find that, and I think that's really what that's geared to mean is that they may have five sites in the same



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parish. They can't all go on one application. You've got to have it divided up by where it's located because that assessor knows where those are and we know where they are when --

#### MR. ADLEY:

Well, that might be a better approach for your definition. That was a good point. That was a good catch. Thank you.

Anything else on the other ones, Ronnie?

No. I think I'm okay for that page.

Next page. We can move on.

#### MR. ADLEY:

MR. SLONE:

The very first paragraph, Item E, and
I'm in the second sentence that says, "The owner of a
new facility under construction may apply for exemption
with the expectation that the facility will become
operational." I'm just confused. I just don't
understand why you wouldn't get it once it's done. Why
would you apply for it in the middle of it? I don't
understand that piece.

#### MS. CLAPINSKI:

Those are, we call those front-end contracts, and they generally have been allowed when projects exceed 100-million into the billions because a



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lot of times those companies need that guarantee of a program in order for financing or other purposes in building that project and so those -- they're not very many. I think we have -- any idea how many right now? Maybe 10 out of all of our contracts we have.

#### MR. ADLEY:

Let's say you're building a facility and it takes three years to build, so you start the building and then because you're under construction, you get the exemption. During that three-year period, would there be any property taxes paid in that period of time if they didn't have the exemption or not?

#### MS. CLAPINSKI:

No, sir. My understanding is that -- MR. ADLEY:

So there's never an issue of I'm getting an exemption, and at the end of the day, I didn't really do what I said I was going to do?

#### MS. CLAPINSKI:

Correct. The way those contracts work is that the affidavit of final cost and a project completion report amend and supplement that contract so that it gives the date and the year in which that contract will begin and the items that are covered. That is turned in when the project is complete, but this



1	just provides some	
2	MR.	ADLEY:
3	1	But in no case there would never be any
4	avoidance of tax	
5	MS.	CLAPINSKI:
6		Correct.
7	MR.	ADLEY:
8		during the construction, and at the
9	end, you didn't	comply with what you said you were going
10	to do, so no one	's ever at risk?
11	MS. (	CLAPINSKI:
12		Correct.
13	MR.	ADLEY:
14	:	That's what I want to make sure of.
15	MS. (	CLAPINSKI:
16	3	Yes, sir.
17	MR.	ADLEY:
18	:	I got you.
19	MR. 1	WINDHAM:
20	:	I have one question. Don't projects
21	have to be comple	eted within a two-year period?
22	MS. (	CHENG:
23	1	No. You can extend.
24	MS. (	CLAPINSKI:
25	7	You get a period of time, but as long as



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you amend your date, your project ending date, within times provided by rule, we are allowed to extend that date out for you.

#### MR. ADLEY:

And then I'm at 509 now on the same page, Paragraph A, and this is office furniture again, and it says only when they're an integral part of the manufacturing operation. Apparently definition of "integral" is very loosely held in the past. In my view, I think the simple answer here is that should never be allowed in your ITEP. I thought ITEP was for you facility, your buildings, your equipment. I just never envisioned that. I don't know anybody else that -- I tried in my mind my very hardest to figure it out. The plant that I've been in where they had a computer set up somewhere, it was truly helping them with manufacturing. Anyone that's ever been in a timber mill, for instance, or anywhere else, uses that computer for their manufacturing.

If it's sitting in some office somewhere, I just can't imagine you ought to be getting ITEP on that. Just because you capitalize it on your books, on your tax returns, should not make it applicable for ITEP. Somehow you've got to figure out how to make it an integral part, if it's an integral



1	part.
2	MR. WINDHAM:
3	Robert?
4	MR. ADLEY:
5	I'm sorry.
6	MR. WINDHAM:
7	What about facilities like the control
8	room in a plant where they have the huge computer, they
9	have to have desks, they have to have work stations,
LO	they have to have
11	MR. ADLEY:
12	I got that.
13	MR. WINDHAM:
<b>14</b>	The assets are different.
15	MS. CLAPINSKI:
<b>L</b> 6	I would say that's integral. I think
<b>L7</b>	that's what he's saying.
18	MR. ADLEY:
19	That's why I was saying, if you've ever
20	been in a timber mill, that's what happens. A guy sits
21	there and he's got a computer that's running everything.
22	I got that. That makes sense.
23	MS. CLAPINSKI:
24	But the front office building, that's
25	MR. ADLEY:



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the front office, where they're just putting on their books, "Look, I'm going to buy all of my paperclips, my desks, everything else, and I'm going capitalize it over a period of time," that clearly should not be part of that process. What you described, in my view, should be. And so that word "integral" has been loosey interpreted, it seems to me. And I say that only based on the testimony we got at our first meeting where someone actually said, "Well, we just, all of the paperclips we buy, we capitalize it," so it's in here, and that means front office expenses, and I don't think that's what the intent was.

### MR. WINDHAM:

But are the sales of manufactured goods integral to the manufacturing process at all? Because you can make it, but if you don't sell it, it served no purpose.

### MR. ADLEY:

I don't even know if I follow what you're saying.

### MR. WINDHAM:

I'm saying the people that sit at the front office and make the decisions about how the operation runs or how they make sales or how they generate revenues from all of the activities that went



1	into process of manufacturing something, isn't that
2	integral to the manufacturing process?
3	MR. ADLEY:
4	If I were trying to get the most of out
5	the government I would get, I would say, "I'm in the
6	front office and I'm handling all of the withholding and
7	the Social Security and everything else that's going on
8	there, and without that, you don't have that guy sitting
9	at that desk out there making the equipment." I just,
10	somehow you need to get specific that it really this
11	word "integral" has got to be better defined somehow.
12	MS. CLAPINSKI:
13	Yes, sir.
14	MR. ADLEY:
15	Just seems to me. I mean, that's the
16	problem. It's loose, you know.
17	MR. WINDHAM:
18	I don't disagree with the looseness of
19	it, but I do believe that the sale of a product or a
20	manufactured item is just as integral as the
21	manufacturing itself.
22	MR. ADLEY:
23	I don't know that I agree with that. I
24	don't. I'd have to think through that.
25	MR. MOLLER:



1	How do the other states define this? I
2	mean, is it possible to look at how it's defined?
3	MR. ADLEY:
4	Are there court cases on this?
5	MR. HOUSE:
6	There are court cases that would make
7	the discussion you just had a matter y'all could put it
8	up for vote, and either way you voted, you'd probably be
9	right. That's what I can tell you. That would be
10	definitely an area of discussion that the Board would
11	have one way or the other. Each of your opinions is
12	legitimate and goes to the issue.
13	MS. CLAPINSKI:
14	And that may need to be a change in how
15	we collect the data and what we collect and how we
16	present it.
17	MR. HOUSE:
18	Yeah. I think the collection of data is
19	absolutely important, you know, and ideas that you have
20	regarding the collection.
21	MR. ADLEY:
22	Well, again, when we come back to our
23	next meeting after we had this discussion, we really
24	I know Don talked about y'all working on some
25	resolutions and stuff in-house, but we need to get some



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

suggestions about how to deal with these things, I think.

I'm down at 511 now, the Replacement Property. This one really got my attention. When it says, "Capitalization for remodeling," that appears to me, when I hear the word "remodel," I see a front office, somebody needs some new drapes, curtains and I don't see that as part of the manufacturing It just looks like, to me, the word is that -process. it's just a bad word, and it allows \$50-million. Ιf it's \$50-million, my guess is that's got to be something attached to the plant, equipment or -- if it's remodeling, it's remodeling the whole place. Fifty-million dollars, that's a pretty big chunk of change. So I would ask that we need to look carefully at the language in that Paragraph A specifically.

And then in Paragraph B, you said, "The exemption may be granted on cost of rebuilding a partially or completely damaged facility, but only the amount not to exceed the original cost." That one makes sense to me. The one above it is just wide open over and above what was said in B.

### MS. CLAPINSKI:

I think "replacement property" is taken out in the executive order anyway, so...



1 MR. HOUSE: 2 It is. It's in Section 3. 3 MR. ADLEY: 4 Well, if that's the case and if all of this 511 deals with replacement property, you might want 5 6 to consider removing it altogether. MS. CLAPINSKI: 7 8 Yes, sir. 9 MR. ADLEY: 10 If the executive order basically said 11 it's not going to recognize it, you might want to just 12 take it out altogether. That would make dealing with 13 that simpler. Unless -- I see y'all's eyes move up and 14 down sometimes and your facial expressions. 15 there's something we need to know, you need to tell us. 16 MR. WINDHAM: Robert, I think -- I think -- this may 17 18 be related to if a unit explodes and you've got to 19 replace that unit, the original exemption may have been 20 on the books for 25-million, but the whole facility, the 21 whole unit was destroyed, so they want to replace the 22 unit and they're going to spend 35-million on the replacement, will they get --23 MR. ADLEY: 24 25 Well, I think -- let me make this



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

suggestion to you. I think a better approach then, instead of going through all of this that went through A, B, C and D, if you flip to the next page, where it says B and C, it talks about disasters. Now, these are natural disasters. What he's talking about may not be a natural disaster, but you might want to simply add to this B and C something dealing with some occurrence that might be manmade that could be defined as a disaster without doing all of this other that's creating the interpretation problem.

### MS. CLAPINSKI:

Okay. I understand.

### MR. ADLEY:

If that's the issue and you want to make sure you're dealing with disasters, and that's what they're talking about in B and C, and if all of this other stuff was there to kind of deal with that, maybe you ought to simplify it.

### MR. WINDHAM:

I think part of it may have to do more specifically with the reduction of the replaced item being restricted for the amount of the original tax exemption that may have been on the books.

### MS. CLAPINSKI:

It's the original value of the item.



1	So I think what he's saying is it may
2	need to be limited to those situations, either a
3	disaster or something manmade that happens. I think
4	this section has also been used when you take out P-7,
5	no explosion or anything, and you replace it, this
6	section has been used, and I think that would be a
7	policy
8	MR. ADLEY:
9	But when you replace it, you don't need
LO	that piece.
11	MS. CLAPINSKI:
12	Correct.
13	MR. ADLEY:
L <b>4</b>	But you do need to keep the door open if
15	there is
L6	MS. CLAPINSKI:
L7	Sure.
18	MR. ADLEY:
19	I'm trying to think where it was. South
20	of Baton Rouge where they had that big explosion down
21	there.
22	MS. CLAPINSKI:
23	Or like a Katrina or some of these
24	Katrina-type situations.
25	MR. ADLEY:



1	Well, Katrina is covered. It's covered.
2	It's a natural disaster. Some manmade thing.
3	MS. CLAPINSKI:
4	It was Geismar. I can't remember. I
5	know what you're talk about, though.
6	MR. ADLEY:
7	So what I'm going to suggest to you, if
8	replacement property is out, take that out, and if it's
9	manmade, you might want to add some language that deals
10	with that. We covered the natural disasters in B and C,
11	and then analyze whether or not you need any limit in it
12	at all if you're taking the replacement out.
13	MS. CLAPINSKI:
14	Okay.
15	MR. SLONE:
16	So if you take "replacement" out, D-2
17	would be sort of where we would start?
18	MR. ADLEY:
19	I'm sorry. Say that again.
20	MR. SLONE:
21	D-2, it's on
22	MS. CLAPINSKI:
23	Yes. Well, you would add probably
24	something well, you would add, as part of the
25	qualified disaster, a manmade element, and I think the



-		
Log	lCV	
<u>r</u>		

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### MR. ADLEY:

what I'm saying. It carries over to B and C on the next page. So you're covering, it looks like, natural disasters; you're covering terrorism, blah, blah, but you're not covering some manmade disaster that could happen, explosion or something like that. And when you do that, you clearly need to give the latitude to you and to the Board, say, some big plant blows up and they say, "Well, it blew up. I want to come back and get my ITEP and I want to rebuild it again." You say, "Wait a minute. I want to look at your track record before I do that."

### MS. CLAPINSKI:

Okay.

### MR. ADLEY:

You still want to be able to do that.

You don't want to make it where you have to.

### MS. CLAPINSKI:

Well, and some of that top part, this would be a policy call for the Board deals with what value they get if you come back for another exemption. So, let's say, for instance, there is a manmade and something blows up, under these rules, if you're



1	previously on when you purchased it, you take that
2	purchase price, you're going to remove it from the new
3	cost of the build, and it only gives the exemption on
4	the difference. And so do we need to keep that piece
5	because then some of that above D-2 needs to remain, or
6	do we say if it's a natural disaster, the 100 percent
7	MR. ADLEY:
8	I got you. So if you look at
9	MS. CLAPINSKI:
10	So I don't know. That's y'all's call to
11	make how we do that.
12	MR. ADLEY:
13	If you look at keeping the value piece,
14	we need to look at it, but the pure replacement, if it's
15	not in the executive order, take it out.
16	MS. CLAPINSKI:
17	Okay. Yes, sir.
18	MR. HOUSE:
19	The executive order says, "New
20	replacements for existing machinery," so I think that
21	fits within the discretion
22	MR. ADLEY:
23	So just take that out and you'll be in
24	compliance with it.
25	MR. WINDHAM:



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the good thing about it is it goes on the tax rolls as new equipment. That portion that's restricted, the 100 percent value.

### MR. ADLEY:

And on the next page, I didn't have any questions in that one, except, I guess, "This exemption may be granted for new location." Can you kind of tell me what that is?

### MS. CLAPINSKI:

Well, something that happens, let's say you had a crane that's on site and you transfer it from your facility to a Lake Charles facility, that exemption has to transfer. That good, that crane that transfers, Baton Rouge needs to take of off of their rolls and Lake Charles is going to put it their exempt rolls. The assessor has to know what property is in their area, so that exemptions that ties to that piece has to transfer as well, and that comes to the Board and y'all approve the transfers.

And the reason that's highlighted is because there is a replacement word in there, so we'll have to...

### MR. HOUSE:

Replace the replacement.

MR. ADLEY:



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now I'm flipping over two pages, I guess. I'm down to what would be Section 529 Paragraph B.

Ronnie, I know that you had some questions about that. I had several. I'll let you go ahead and get yours if you'd like, and I think Robby might have had some on this, too.

#### MR. SLONE:

Robbia had to leave, but the comment was really about the things that we've already been discussing with reference to renewals, if you will. A little still fuzzy on whether or not if it's an MCA out there right now that was before the executive order. That's the confusion, whether or not it was grandfathered or honored because it was already out there, and I think you spoke to that a little bit earlier today.

### MR. ADLEY:

And just to try to clarify, if this
Board, albeit the effective date was the 24th, it
doesn't remove the responsibility from the Board making
a decision whether or not they think that whatever came
in, it complies with manufacturing and what their
interpretation is. You still have the authority, even
on those, to decide whatever you want to do with them.



I just want to make that clear. It's not a deal of a rubber stamp that they're out there. That's what I'm trying to say. You may say, "I want to implement mine now," but we can do whatever we want to if we want it to move along.

### MS. CLAPINSKI:

And this is highlighted. I highlighted it because at a previous Board meeting, there was some discussion of how we decide what's the penalty based on how late, and so that's just to your attention. If you want to make any parameters in place, this is where it goes.

### MR. ADLEY:

Yeah, and I think you were wise to pick up on that. I do remember that discussion. I would suggest to you that this word "may" should be removed and the word "shall" should go in its place. Then that removes from the Board this having to look at this one guy in the face or another guy in the face, "Were you there?" "Were you not there?" It makes it clear that these exemptions are for your benefit. Period. And it's your benefit. You ought to be -- you're the one that needs to file timely. If you don't file timely, there's some penalty for not doing that. And I would suggest to you that my notes here, instead of the word



### Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES
www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"may," I would put the word "shall."

And I also put here, Richard, and it relates back to our definition when we went all of the back to manufacturing at the very beginning, I believe that how we define manufacturing, and I think in that definition, we need to make clear that that means CEA, that means jobs, that means local approval. maintenance, no exemption for equipment, for environmental. What's in that definition in the beginning that you're going to pull up from the court or whatnot, you need to make sure that these requirements in that executive order are part of that definition and they would fit, also, in that same place. So there is, for these renewals, that the same thing applies for them as applies as you're going in. I think that's the intent of the executive order. So I'm just suggesting to you that when you define what manufacturing is, you also need to make it clear that manufacturing is this with these things, this CEA, this job, this blah, blah, blah. Does that make sense to you? I mean, I think that makes it really clear, "This is who a manufacturing guy is. I'm a manufacturing facility, and as such, I'm going to enter this CEA. I'm going to have these jobs, blah, blah, blah.



### Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES
www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

I see you frowning, but I think you have

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to figure that out somehow.

2 MS. CLAPINSKI:

No. I put it in my head because I think that definition of manufacturing is in the constitution in one place and what's in the best interest of the State in a separate place, so I'm trying to figure out how you --

#### MR. ADLEY:

Well, I'm going to help you. I'm going to help you. You are not dealing with the constitution. You're dealing with that separate place now. What the rules have had in the past is just straight language out of the constitution that didn't have a definition. This is that separate place.

### MS. CLAPINSKI:

I'm not disagreeing -- go ahead.

### MR. HOUSE:

Well, I think what she's referring to, at least in my mind, is, Senator, in here, and rightly so, and in the constitution, you guys have to make a determination as to whether or not something is or is not manufacturing. That's one set of rules. In my mind, that's one set of looking at things. I think you may obscure that if you start talking about Exhibits A and B. That doesn't mean Exhibits A and B --



1	MS. CLAPINSKI:
2	Somewhere else. It's not.
3	MR. HOUSE:
4	aren't in the very next section or
5	wherever. It's there in their mind, but to say that you
6	incorporate that in the definition of manufacturing, I
7	think it's a little more complicated and may induce many
8	more questions.
9	MR. ADLEY:
10	Let me suggest this then: In the
11	previous session that we're dealing with and now the
<b>12</b>	renewals, somewhere in that section needs to be a clause
13	then that deals with the issue of jobs and the CEA
<b>14</b>	that's not there now. It's not in there.
15	MS. CLAPINSKI:
16	I understand.
L7	MR. HOUSE:
18	Yes, sir.
<b>L</b> 9	MR. ADLEY:
20	And so when I read through all of these,
21	I guess when I got to the end, I said, "You know, I
22	haven't seen anything about the CEA, the jobs, the
23	approval and all of that, the local approval." I
24	haven't seen any of that, so somewhere in these rules,
25	that's got to go.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### MR. HOUSE:

Can I ask you a question on -- I agree that should go in there and we should incorporate this, but should we also have a clause in there that makes reference to other requirements or other determinations as made by executive order of the Governor?

### MR. ADLEY:

You know, I don't -- my gut feeling is I don't know that you need that simply because he's a separate entity and he has the authority to do whatever he wants to do. We are obliged in doing our best to comply with what he has suggested he wants done in this executive order. I prefer you not do that, and I will tell you why, because then by executive order, you could literally just change the rules. I'm in hopes that whether this guy's reelected or not reelected, that when the next group comes along -- and I have my friends out there to lobby every day. I know them well and they always look forward to whoever the next guy is they can go get from him what they couldn't get from us. I get that, but I don't want to make it so simple they just go right into executive order and change these If the rules are going to be changed, I want rules. them to have to go through the same process we're having to go through. And I believe that brings a whole lot



### Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES
www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more sunshine on this process. So I don't think, in my mind -- the initial reaction is just me. I don't like that idea. I do like the idea of what's covered in this executive order being put in the rules, and then once the rules are finally adopted, if somebody wants to change the rules, they'd have to go through what we're going through.

#### MR. WINDHAM:

On the flip side of that, Robert, when the entity would go for renewal, if the local-elected bodies have changed, are they to be bound by the previous elected body's CEAs?

### MR. ADLEY:

I'm not a lawyer, but I know if people have signed a contract, they have a problem.

### MR. HOUSE:

That have approval.

Of course, I think if the legislature, city council, school board or whatever approves something by resolution, it's approved and then you act on that A and B, you act on B approving A and the Governor signs it, that's a contract for whatever number of years it's a contract for.

### MR. WINDHAM:

Right. And then when it comes up for



1	renewal, it's still subject or bound by those original
2	agreements?
3	MR. HOUSE:
4	I think it would be, yes. I think
5	that
6	MR. ADLEY:
7	If they enter into the agreement, that's
8	part of the contract.
9	MR. WINDHAM:
10	Just for clarification.
11	MAJOR COLEMAN:
12	Does this Governor do the same thing?
13	Can he just say, "Yeah, we're going to do it this way,"
14	and then maybe the next Governor would do the same
15	thing, and he ultimately has the
16	MR. ADLEY:
17	No. There is a difference.
18	MAJOR COLEMAN:
19	He has the authority to accept what we
20	do from this table right now? He can just say no?
21	MR. ADLEY:
22	No. There's a difference. There is a
23	difference, and I'll tell you what the difference is.
24	Under the current rules, we all know they're very
25	loosely drawn, anything, just dang near anything gets



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ITEP. It's been rubber stamped for years. Now, he said, "You can keep those rules, but this is the way I'm going to do it." The difference is, if you change the rules; okay, the next Governor can still say, "This is the way I'm going to do it. I'm not --" you're right about that, but people who come to apply originally, we will have removed at least this rubber-stamped process. We will have clarified what real manufacturing is. We will have brought it back in line in the rules of the State of Louisiana what we think really ought to apply to ITEP.

If I just accepted what you just said, we won't never get to meet at all. We'll just wait for him to go see if he wants to sign it or not. That is what's happened in the past. So I'm trying to draw these rules tighter so that we get back -- at least that's what I hope to do. Y'all going to make the decision.

#### MAJOR COLEMAN:

I agree with you.

### MR. ADLEY:

-- so we get them tighter than they were so that when we leave here, when you and I leave this Board, we can go home and say, "You know, we did something to change Louisiana for the better." And if



1	somebody doesn't like what we are going to do, they're
2	going to have to go publically and go through the same
3	process we went through.
4	I'm going to tell y'all, it's a big deal
5	now. It is. I know some of my friends out there don't
6	like that, but that's the way it ought to be. Sunshine
7	is a great disinfectant for anything that went on bad,
8	and that's what I see we're doing here is it's creating
9	a whole lot more sunshine than has ever been in this
10	process. At least what I hope for.
11	The last question let me ask my last
12	question and I'm going to get to you.
13	MR. SLONE:
14	Oh, okay.
15	MR. ADLEY:
16	Is there anything in these rules refer
17	to the Ward Bill that passed in the last session or not?
18	My gut feeling is it probably didn't, but I need to
19	know.
20	MS. CLAPINSKI:
21	That's the refundability of that
22	inventory tax credit if you have ITEP.
23	MS. MITCHELL:
24	Yeah. I don't think so.
25	MR. ADLEY:



1	Mandi, you don't think it does?
2	MS. MITCHELL:
3	No. It's more on the revenue side.
4	MR. ADLEY:
5	For the Committee's benefit, Senator
6	Ward passed a piece of legislation, if you got ITEP,
7	then you would give up the refundability portion of your
8	inventory tax credit.
9	MS. MITCHELL:
10	Yes, sir. So LDR is going to have to
11	address their rules on the side of inventory tax credits
12	because they administer ITC.
13	MR. ADLEY:
14	That's the last question I had, Ronnie.
15	MR. SLONE:
16	I feel like I'm beating a dead
17	hours. MCAs that were in place prior to 6/24 still run
18	the way they were based on the original rules?
19	MS. CLAPINSKI:
20	They had approval on 6/24 or before,
21	they got their contract approved.
22	MR. ADLEY:
23	But, now, under the original rules, when
24	it comes to the Board, the Board can accept or reject
25	them.



1	MR. HOUSE:
2	Well, I think what she was talking about
3	is approval by the Board as of 6/24, those MCAs will
4	have the presumably, unless you tell us otherwise
5	the same contract.
6	MR. SLONE:
7	Right.
8	MR. HOUSE:
9	Now, MCAs that were not approved as of
10	6/24, unless they have jobs with them, they're gone.
11	MR. ADLEY:
12	I got you. Okay.
13	MR. WINDHAM:
14	My understanding from Matt said, though,
15	what Matthew said, is that it was still up to the
16	Governor whether or not he's going to sign it.
17	MR. ADLEY:
18	That's correct.
19	MR. HOUSE:
20	It's still always up to the Governor and
21	it's still always up to this Board. You could ask us to
22	write new contracts for everybody, so I mean, we'd
23	recommend you don't do that, but still.
24	MR. ADLEY:
25	Listen, I don't want to beat a dead



1	horse either, but it's real important for this committee
2	to remember when we finish this work, we will be sending
3	a message throughout Louisiana and throughout America,
4	and because it's going to be in writing, that's very
5	important. It's really very, very important.
6	MS. CLAPINSKI:
7	So can I ask for a point of
8	clarification?
9	MR. ADLEY:
10	No (laughing).
11	MS. CLAPINSKI:
12	Am I taking from here that based on the
13	comments that we've just had and those that will come
14	from the public discussions, you'd like some form of
15	draft at the next meeting on the 22nd?
16	MR. ADLEY:
17	Yes.
18	MS. CLAPINSKI:
19	Okay. Just want to make sure.
20	MR. ADLEY:
21	No. And what I'm so the committee
22	knows, my plan is to get some draft, go through that and
23	actually maybe start some voting process once we get
24	that draft so we can start deciding amongst ourselves
25	what we really think these things ought to look like.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that when we have your meeting, Mr. Chairman, on the 26th, what I would ask is the opportunity at that meeting simply to state that we are in process; right, and we will not be through by then.

MS. CLAPINSKI:

We can add an update, a rules update.

MR. ADLEY:

have -- if we can come out of it with approval and say this is what we want, we would get them to you for the meeting on the 26th. If that cannot happen, we will meet again shortly after the 26th to try to finalize them, and you may even have to call a special meeting to do nothing but to approve those rules so they can start the Administrative Procedures Act. That's generally what I'm thinking. Just I'm trying my best to get these things out there as quickly as we can, but once you start the APA, you're going to be right after the first of year before you finalize this thing.

MR. HOUSE:

That's right.

MR. ADLEY:

So it's a very time-consuming process.

So thank you very, very much.

Does anybody else have any other



### Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

1	questions before we let them go?
2	(No response.)
3	MR. ADLEY:
4	Then with that, I'm going to public
5	comments. I'm asking you to bear in mind that we're all
6	trying to get out of here, but we want to hear from you.
7	I would ask that you use the podium. I'd ask that you
8	identify yourself and try to be on point with whatever
9	comment you might have.
10	MR. LEONARD:
11	Yes, sir. Thank you very much. My name
12	is Jimmy Leonard, and I'm with Advantous Consulting
13	MR. ADLEY:
14	Would you repeat that again? I'm sorry.
15	Are y'all recording these comments? Are
16	you getting them? Did you hear him?
17	So-so. You need to speak up a little
18	bit.
19	MR. LEONARD:
20	Yes, sir. My name is Jimmy Leonard.
21	I'm with Advantous Consulting. I have two questions for
22	the Board for consideration as we go throughout the
23	drafting process.
24	The first one, there seems to be a very
25	laser focus on maintenance capital and what that really



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

means. I'm hoping that during the rules drafting process we can get further clarification as to what maintenance really means, concepts such as, you know, improvements and upgrades, refurbishments. There are a lot of other activities that occur that require capital investments made by companies, and where do some of these other concepts fall into the executive order.

The second item is we are working with a number of projects that are presented and financed as one very large project that takes millions, billions, of dollars to construct, multiple years, multiple lines. Each line goes into service in different years, so during the process for approvals for your Exhibits A and Exhibit B, property taxes are due January 1 following the year in asset a line goes into service. So the way to program has historically worked, you were not waiting until the last line went into service where you would effectively get maybe 12 years or 13 years of exemption on one plant expansion. As each line went into service, your 10-year property tax exemption kicked in. So the previous rule about three contracts or three applications for an advance is what we use predominantly for very large capital investments for one project.

MR. ADLEY:

Which rule? Say it again.



### TORRES REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

1	MR. LEONARD:
2	Sir, that was the one on the first page.
3	E. That is
4	MR. SLONE:
5	503(e), I believe.
6	MR. LEONARD:
7	503(e), yes, sir.
8	So during the approval process, I guess
9	the curiosities are if we have multiple lines going into
10	service and multiple years on one project, do we need
11	multiple Exhibit As and Bs? Do we have multiple
12	contracts? What will be the process for these large
13	capital investment?
14	So those are just our only two.
15	MR. ADLEY:
16	So we'll look at the issue of mega
17	projects is what you're saying?
18	MR. LEONARD:
19	More or less.
20	MR. ADLEY:
21	Give your name one more time.
22	MR. LEONARD:
23	Sure. My name is Jimmy Leonard.
24	MR. ADLEY:
25	Thank you.



1	MR. LEONARD:
2	Yes, sir.
3	MR. ADAIR:
4	Good morning. My name is Bob Adair and
5	I represent I'm a member of the property tax
6	committee for the Louisiana Mid-Continent Oil and Gas
7	Association, so I am speaking on their behalf. I'll be
8	very brief. Couple comments and then one request for
9	you to reconsider.
10	One is that the manufacturing, we talked
11	about that, the integral. I'm not an attorney, but as
12	I've worked with this for the last 30 years or so, there
13	are attorney general opinions I think there's one I
14	can recall in 1948.
15	MR. ADLEY:
16	Say that again.
17	MR. ADAIR:
18	1948, the attorney general opinion said
19	something about if it's an integral part of the
20	manufacturing process. As I recall, it was an office
21	building that was specifically talked about in that it
22	was eligible, and that's just a reference.
23	Also, the renewal on 5/29, the May
24	language, again, this goes back to my understanding of
25	the last 30 years or so working in this. The intent is



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to allow justification. I mean, if somebody, if a key person in the plant or whatever, if they happen to leave the company for whatever reason or they die or if another company acquires that company, and for whatever reason, it falls between the cracks, then it allows the Board to accept a justifiable reason for that. That's my understanding.

Predictability, I'll just tell you from what I'm hearing through LMOGA and others, there will likely be many more applications applied very early. I know 503 allows for applications before completion. I'm aware of some that were applied before we got the authorization for the expenditure for management, so you'll likely get more of those until there's some stability come through this.

The last item, real quickly, pollution control. I realize that was excluded through the executive order, but just as a reference --

MR. ADLEY:

Say that again.

MR. ADAIR:

Pollution control.

MR. ADLEY:

Okay.

MR. ADAIR:



## Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know that's excluded as exempt on the executive order, but in Texas, for example, since 1994, it has been permanently exempt. So if you're trying to compare it to Texas, pollution control is a 100-percent exempt permanently, and I'm reading from the intent, and their guideline says, "The intent of the constitutional amendment was to ensure that capital expenditures undertaken to comply with the environmental rules did not increase a facility's property tax." So that's the case in Texas. A lot of states have this.

Alabama is completely exempt. I was in Illinois last week, and their's is a fairly minimal value, which is just depreciating cost times the 1.5 percent, and that's just to state the scrap value. So that's how -- I know Montana, for example, they have a 10-year exemption. I won't go through a lot more states, but I can easily get more information on that for your reference.

So if there's any way -- I know the horse is out of the barn to some extent, but if we can reconsider that, pollution control, that would be -- put you in better competition with other states.

### MR. ADLEY:

I might add just for the committee's information, in the State of Texas, the property tax is



1	a very large leg in their stability of their taxes.
2	They have no corporations tax; they have no personal
3	income tax. They only have the margin tax and the sales
4	and the property. That's their three-legged stool. So
5	what they do is, as it relates to property taxes,
6	sometimes dramatically different to us simply because we
7	do have a different three-legged stool than what they
8	have.
9	MR. ADAIR:
10	Correct. There's also different
11	assessment ratios. For example, Texas is all the same
12	here. Most business is 15 percent higher than
13	residential. Fifteen versus 10. So, yeah, we need to
14	look at the whole structure.
15	MR. ADLEY:
16	And Texas allows the locals to make that
17	call.
18	MR. ADAIR:
19	Correct. With the exception of schools,
20	it has to also be approved by the state office and
21	the local school board. And the pollution control has
22	to be approved by the Texas Commission on Environmental
23	Quality. That's a state agency.
24	MR. ADLEY:



# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

Thank you.

Okay.

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

New Orleans, LA 504.392.4791 504.392.4852 FAX

1	MR. ADAIR:
2	Sure.
3	MS. REAP-CURIEL:
4	Rhonda Reap-Curiel. I represent Cencor
5	Consulting.
6	With respect to 503 with the limits on
7	the applications, I'd like to suggest that maybe you
8	include some language that says something that could
9	have more at the discretion of the secretary. Certainly
10	a larger project's going to take three or four or five
11	years to build. The secretary is going to be involved
12	with that project.
13	MR. ADLEY:
14	Now, does that fall in line with the
15	same mega project that Jimmy was talking about?
16	MS. REAP-CURIEL:
17	Yeah. It would be similar to that, but
18	that would give him some discretion and it would still
19	allow the tracking, which they're wanting, but it would
20	keep the company from having to constantly come back and
21	file advances as they run out when their items are
22	placed into service.
23	With respect to 511, remodeling is not
24	the front office such as new drapes. What it does is it
25	allows us, particularly in the rural areas, to take



older retail facilities that have been vacated or
warehouses that have been vacated and allow
manufacturing to go in there. So when you remodel with
that respect, you may be putting in a different type of
loading dock, upgrading electrical, putting in firewalls
and other items that weren't necessarily needed when
those facilities were originally constructed. So what
happens when that occurs is the facility is on the book
as current assessed value. Any improvements made to
that facility, the cost of those improvements are what
is exempted. So if you have a \$100,000 building and you
spend 100,000, the first 100 you're paying the full
property tax on. The second 100 would be exempted.
MR. ADLEY:
So is it safe to say that it may be
better than remodeling; you are reengineering something?
MS. REAP-CURIEL:
Rehabilitation. Not necessarily a
remodel. We don't even use we use "remodel" in the
real estate world as it relates to residential.
Redevelopment or rehabilitation. The reason is more
for
MR. ADLEY:
And I see it the same way, so when I saw



# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

it in this rule, I was kind of caught by that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. REP-CURIEL:

I just don't want it to lose the ability to put older buildings back into commerce.

MR. ADLEY:

I got you.

MS. REAP-CURIEL:

I know you talked about office furniture and computers, and I just want to hit on some things because we do have modern facilities now. You do have computers on the manufacturing floor where literally an employee goes and scans his badge, he knows what he's pulling to put onto that part to whatever the final product is, especially in metal fabrications scenarios. So he scans his badge; he gets his part; he goes and puts it on; he scans back out. That logs the time; that logs the part. It's followed up with quality control. He scans, does their checks. Those type computers may just be a regular Del laptop on the floor, but it's not an office computer. Those computers that may be in the administrative area are also receiving the orders, printing the quality checks, all of those things.

No paperclips, pens and pencils, I would agree with you, but just because it's on the administrative side of the wall does not necessarily mean it is not relevant to manufacturing. Ouality



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

control lives on the administrative side, and I certainly don't think you want things going down the road that haven't had proper quality checks. So I think we can work to clean up some language there, but --

Well, I would suggest if you do have some suggested language, if you would get it to Melissa now, it would be very helpful, because right now, it's so broadly interpreted, it could be remodeling, like remodeling your home. So any language you have, we always welcome that.

MS. REAP-CURIEL:

Okay. Thank you.

MR. ALLISON:

MR. ADLEY:

Hello, members. My name is Don Allison. I'm with Advantous Consulting. I have one question with two parts on the subject that's going to come up before y'all pretty soon in some things over the next few months, and it was related to a question Mr. Slone asked earlier about renewals and MCAs. I think he specifically asked about MCAs. But over the next few months, you're going to see a lot of applications for renewals of contracts that were entered into five years ago. Now they're five years old and it's time for their renewal application. So the first question is -- I



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mean, I just want clarity. I'm not sure I heard correctly how those are going to be handled. Again, a renewal of the contract that was entered into in 2011 or so that comes up -- and, look, these all have to be renewed before January 1st of 2017, because if any assets were in service on January 1st, 2017 and did not go by any exemptions, they go on the tax rolls. of these companies have to get these renewals processed. As the rule is currently stated, renewal applications have to be filed within the last six months of the year prior to their expiration. So starting July 1st of this year through December 31st this year is when all of these new applications have to be filed on these five-year-old contracts. You'll see a flood of them coming before the Board. I'm not sure about August. I'm sure certainly August through October and December, whatever other meetings you might have. Is there a plan, are renewals going to be handled just like they would have before or is there something new?

MR. ADLEY:

Don? I don't think anybody can specifically answer that for you because everyone reserves the right to do, every one of these members, whatever they want to do, and I can just tell you how I feel about it and I will ask them to make sure I feel



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about it correctly, but I'm sitting here as his appointee for him. I'm not going to vote for any renewals or anything else that doesn't comply with what the intent is in this executive order. If it doesn't have a relationship in jobs and local involvement, for me, I don't care what it is. I think the way that it's been done before has been too loose; I think it's been lackadaisical; I think it's been rubber stamped. For me, that's how I feel. They're all going to have to make their decision, and when they start coming to the Board, I think that is going to be the time they're going to have to debate it and figure out. That's how I feel about it. If it's a renewal and it's coming in there and it's not creating any jobs --

### MR. PIERSON:

Wait a minute. Robert, let me make sure that you guys are both on the same wavelength because -- are you strictly on miscellaneous capital additions?

### MR. ALLISON:

No. I'm on renewals.

### MR. PIERSON:

So they got an offer letter from the State; they filed their advanced notification; they got their contract, and everything that's been represented to them up to this point in time is that they have a



1	10-year tax exemption.
2	MR. ALLISON:
3	But they done it five years ago; right?
4	MR. PIERSON:
5	So this is when it has that exit ramp
6	where he filters out bad actors, but the company said
7	they were going to do something, they made that
8	investment, and I believe this is the point where the
9	Governor says that the State's going to stand by it's
10	commitment. So the State had offered a 10-year tax
11	exemption.
12	MR. ADLEY:
13	If that is the case, I can give you my
14	word that I'll certainly visit with him and make sure
15	that's what his intent is, but if he's talking about
16	renewals there that are going to hit us in January, I'm
17	not sure
18	MR. PIERSON:
19	He's calling it a renewal, but it's part
20	of the 10-year tax exemption program.
21	MR. ADLEY:
22	Huh?
23	MR. PIERSON:
24	It's that part because it's a 10-year
25	tax exemption program. There is two five-year charges,



1	as you know, but with a good actor that's done
2	everything that they're supposed to do, they've
3	employed, you know, they may have a letter in their file
4	from the State saying, "We welcome your investment. We
5	want you to know that you're going to have a 10-year tax
6	exemption," they followed our rules posted on our
7	website, they filed that advanced notification, they've
8	done everything that they're supposed to do, it's my
9	understanding from the Governor that we're going to
10	honor those commitments.
11	MR. ADLEY:
12	And if that's your view, that's what I'm
13	going to do.
14	MR. ALLISON:
15	Okay. That's a very important topic.
16	That's why I want to get it out here so we can flush it
17	out.
18	MR. ADLEY:
19	We're not going to flush out here, Don.
20	I mean, I will. I'll go find out
21	MR. HOUSE:
22	This isn't about a maintenance contract.
23	This is a plant that was built.
24	MR. ALLISON:
25	That's the renewal of a five-year-old



contract, yes. So that's an issue that a lot of people in the audience and outside of this building are wondering about, so I wanted to raise the question, and it looks like there will be some more discussion before we have an answer. That's fine.

### MR. ADLEY:

No. I think that's good, and we'll have public comments again on the 22nd. Between now and then, I'll try to get a more definitive answer on how he feels about it. I will. And if you're correct, I mean, I'll certainly say that's how he feels about it.

### MR. ALLISON:

The second part of my question is, Mr. Slone raised the question about miscellaneous capital additions. Now, a lot of people, a lot of companies started their MCAs, they're called, in January of this year and they didn't file an advanced notification form because there's no rule that said they had to. As they're plugging along, they spend money. They spend two, three, 5-million, whatever they spend, before June 24th and they're going to file their application for their miscellaneous capital addition. Sometime later they do by March 31st of next year, so between now and then you're going to see a lot of applications for MCAs for moneys that were spent prior to June 24. So the



# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

question I'm hearing from a lot of people is what about those? We didn't do anything wrong. We didn't file an advanced notification form because we weren't supposed to, we didn't have to, but now June 24th an executive order was issued, how are those MCAs going to be handled, specifically for pre-June 24th expenditures?

MR. ADLEY:

I think you've got the same answer as you're getting before. I think the big issue that I saw on the MCAs were two issues. One was many of them appear to me to look like they were filed just below the \$5-million threshold getting around the advanced notice of the old rule. If, for me, if I viewed one and it looked like to me that's what the intent was, I might not be for that. But if it was clearly under the old rule, an MCA, it's a legitimate deal, it's what I had to do, I would certainly view that differently.

What got our attention on the MCA was that when we went down the list of those things, it was just tons of them that were just 4-million-something just to get under the five and the would be five or six of them in a row all of at the same place.

MR. ALLISON:

I understand.

MR. ADLEY:



Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

1	And it certainly gives the impression
2	that people were filing the MCAs just to get around the
3	advanced notice.
4	MR. ALLISON:
5	I understand. I'm more concerned about
6	the legitimate MCAs who complied with the rules that
7	existed pre-June 24, how they're going to handle the
8	application they
9	MR. ADLEY:
10	I can tell you that the Board them
11	self Richard, you might want to deal with this, but
12	the Board is going to have to make that call.
13	MR. HOUSE:
14	One factor you need to include is MCAs
15	with jobs or MCAs without jobs. That's a very important
16	definition point.
17	MR. ALLISON:
18	But that wasn't a requirement pre-June
19	24th.
20	MR. HOUSE:
21	But it is now.
22	MR. ALLISON:
23	All right. I just wanted to raise those
24	questions. And I think LABI submitted a set of a lot of
25	questions. I think they maybe went to all of you-all.



August 10, 2016 Page 117

**C&I MEETING** 

1	Maybe in the next meeting or in a future meeting
2	MR. ADLEY:
3	It was a novel.
4	MR. ALLISON:
5	We'll look forward to discussing those
6	at a future meeting.
7	MR. ADLEY:
8	I did talk to Mr. Patterson about his
9	manuscript that he submitted for review. I know it's
10	got about 30 items in there. I know the Governor's
11	office is going through them. Matthew's got them, as we
12	discussed. I think y'all sent them out to all of the
13	members.
14	Did you send everybody a copy of that?
15	Y'all got it. So it's in there for us
16	to pick up and deal with. It is.
17	Now, look, let me just say this to the
18	committee. I really want to thank y'all for taking the
19	time to do this, just putting out a monumental effort.
20	Much more than the people had dreamed that you were
21	getting into, I'm sure, but you got yourself involved
22	with it.
23	And to y'all for being patient with us.
24	It's very important. I think you will find at the end
25	of the day, he's trying to be as fair as we know how.



# TORRES REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.

1	I'm saying that for the Governor's office. He's truly
2	trying to figure that out. He's not trying to be
3	harmful. Just trying to get the taxpayer in the best
4	position the taxpayer ought to be in. I mean, I think
5	that's our obligation to do that.
6	Is there anything else? The next
7	meeting is going to be on August what did I say?
8	MS. GUESS:
9	22nd.
10	MR. ADLEY:
11	22nd at two, and I think that was on
12	the Monday and we set it at two to give everybody some
13	time to get in from wherever they're from. And it's
14	going to be where?
15	MS. VILLA:
16	In the LaBelle Room at LaSalle.
17	MR. PIERSON:
18	Back across the street at LaSalle.
19	MR. ADLEY:
20	Back across the street at LaSalle.
21	Now, just for information, did y'all
22	tell me the other day y'all where moving or moving to
23	another building? What's fixing to happen with y'all?
24	MR. PIERSON:
25	We're moving to LaSalle this week.



1	MR. ADLEY:
2	You're moving to LaSalle. Okay. So it
3	will be at LaSalle where the meeting we had before.
4	With that, if there are no further
5	questions, this meeting is adjourned.
6	(Meeting concludes at 12:18 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE:

I, ELICIA H. WOODWORTH, Certified Court
Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, as the
officer before whom this meeting for the Policy and
Rules Committee of the Board of Commerce and Industry of
the Louisiana Economic Development Corporation, do
hereby certify that this meeting was reported by me in
the stenotype reporting method, was prepared and
transcribed by me or under my personal direction and
supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the
best of my ability and understanding;

That the transcript has been prepared in compliance with transcript format required by statute or by rules of the board, that I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the board;

That I am not related to counsel or to the parties herein, nor am I otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter.

Dated this 3rd day of August, 2016.

22

23

24

25

ELICIA H. WOODWORTH, CCR

CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER



Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

August 10, 2016 Index: \$100,000..Adley

\$ **\$100,000** 107:11 \$100-million 42:4,9 **\$250** 56:6 **\$5-million** 115:12 \$50-million 77:10,11 1 **1** 51:13 100:14 **1.5** 104:13 **10** 39:24 60:1 63:7,11 70:5 105:13 **10-year** 37:23 38:17 42:7 59:21,22 100:20 104:16 112:1,10,20,24 113:5 **100** 38:16 39:23 43:14 83:6 84:3 107:12,13 100,000 107:12 100-million 69:25 **100-percent** 104:4 **11** 56:10 **12** 52:25 100:18 **12:18** 119:6 13 100:18 **15** 105:12 **1948** 102:14,18 **1994** 104:2 **1st** 110:5,6,11 2 **2** 58:24 68:11

20 31:21 34:10 45:16 **2011** 110:3 2017 110:5,6

**22nd** 35:2 97:15 98:8 114:8 118:9.11 **24** 114:25 116:7 **24th** 31:17 85:20 114:21 115:4,6 116:19 25-million 78:20 **26th** 98:2,11,12 **29** 63:22 3 3 78:2 **30** 45:18,19 102:12,25 117:10 **31st** 110:12 114:23

35-million 78:22 4 4-million-something 115:20 5 5-million 114:20

5/29 102:23 **50** 38:16 62:11 63:1,3, 19 64:6,7 **503** 103:11 106:6 503(a)(2) 50:23 **503(e)** 101:5,7 **505** 33:5 507(a) 60:25 68:11 **509** 72:5 **511** 77:3 78:5 106:23 **529** 85:2 5th 42:3

6 6/24 34:20 95:17,20 96:3,10 6th 40:8 7 70 43:3,19 45:11,13 8

**80** 43:2,14,19 45:9 9 90 63:6

Α

abatement 38:23 47:1 ability 38:24 39:23 43:24 44:20 46:23 47:15 108:2

absolute 31:9 **absolutely** 20:10 76:19 accept 92:19 95:24 103:6 acceptable 35:16 accepted 33:24 93:12 accomplish 52:2 accomplishes 27:7

accountable 39:21 40:20 accurate 42:17

accountability 32:3

37:21 38:19

acknowledge 39:3 acknowledgement 46:17 47:7

acquires 103:4

act 20:15 91:20.21 98:15

activities 31:16 74:25 100:5

activity 62:12 64:19

actor 113:1

actors 112:6

actual 53:23 56:2.5

**Ad** 50:22

**Adair** 102:3,4,17 103:21,25 105:9,18 106:1

**add** 28:8 46:14 54:3 65:11 79:6 81:9,23,24 98:6 104:24

adding 55:3,4

addition 61:21,24 114:22

additional 35:15 48:5 55:22 56:4

additions 42:21 58:25 111:18 114:15

address 31:22 68:23 95:11

addressed 49:18 adjoining 28:11 adjourned 119:5

Adley 20:3,11,23 21:19, 24 22:4,15,20,25 23:8,9 26:13 27:24 28:13 30:5. 17 33:14 35:7 41:4 42:23 48:8,15,21 50:2, 9,16,21 51:1,7,18,22 52:8,14,19 53:13,17 54:13 56:14 57:13,17 58:1,13,23 59:3,10,18, 25 60:17,20 61:4 62:25 63:10,17,23 64:5,13 65:18,23 66:5 67:4 68:3 69:5,13 70:6,15 71:2,7, 13,17 72:4 73:4,11,18, 25 74:18 75:3,14,22



# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

**COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES** www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

August 10, 2016 Index: Adley's..awareness

#### **C&I MEETING**

76:3,21 78:3,9,24 79:13 80:8,13,18,25 81:6,18 82:2,17 83:7,12,22 84:4,25 85:18 86:13 88:8 89:9,19 90:7 91:13 92:6,16,21 93:21 94:15, 25 95:4,13,22 96:11,17, 24 97:9,16,20 98:7,22 99:3,13 100:24 101:15, 20,24 102:15 103:19,23 104:23 105:15,24 106:13 107:14,23 108:4 109:5 110:20 112:12.21 113:11,18 114:6 115:7, 25 116:9 117:2,7 118:10,19 119:1

Adley's 39:20

administer 95:12

administration 56:2 65:7

**administrative** 20:14 49:16,24 51:3 52:16,23 53:24 98:15 108:20,24 109:1

adopt 37:1

adopted 20:14 91:5

**adoption** 32:19 37:11, 17

**advance** 54:4,5,6,8 55:1,7 56:3,5,8 57:3,6, 8.10 100:22

advanced 37:23 38:2 39:9 52:25 53:8 59:6,15 111:23 113:7 114:17 115:3,12 116:3

advances 55:6 106:21

**advantages** 28:24 29:13

**Advantous** 99:12,21 109:16

**affect** 54:11

**affidavit** 39:6,10,11 42:1,15 70:21

agency 105:23

agenda 22:13

aggrievance 33:8

**agree** 23:20,25 32:17 36:24 47:19 58:2 75:23 90:2 93:20 108:23

agreeable 35:5

**agreement** 34:25 38:5, 20 92:7

agreements 92:2

ahead 64:16 85:6 88:16

Alabama 104:11

albeit 85:20

**Allison** 109:14,15 111:19 112:2 113:14,24 114:12 115:23 116:4, 17,22 117:4

**allowed** 64:21 69:24 72:2,11

alternative 43:12

**altogether** 57:20 78:6,

amend 70:22 72:1

amended 53:1

amendment 104:7

**America** 28:17 48:10 97:3

**amount** 41:13 77:20 79:22

amounts 43:7

amplifies 31:13

analyze 42:14 81:11

answers 27:20

anxieties 32:25

anxiety 33:11

**anymore** 56:21 57:21 59:6 60:14

**APA** 98:18

apologize 23:4,5 26:8

Apparently 72:8

**appears** 60:8 67:23 77:5

applicable 72:24

**applicant** 26:17,19 34:14 53:1,2

applicants 34:11

**application** 34:12 53:9 56:17,19 57:6,7 58:4 59:20 69:1 109:25 114:21 116:8

**applications** 34:5,7 53:20 54:17,25 55:21, 22 56:4,8,20 100:22 103:10,11 106:7 109:22 110:9,13 114:24

applied 103:10,12

applies 63:2 87:14,15

**apply** 63:12 69:16,20 93:6,10

appointee 111:2

appointment 36:2

appraisals 42:1

**approach** 46:22 64:15 69:6 79:1

**approval** 21:21 34:11 87:7 89:23 91:17 95:20 96:3 98:9 101:8

approvals 100:13

**approve** 24:21 84:18 98:14

**approved** 23:24 25:20, 23 26:5,7 27:15 34:6 91:20 95:21 96:9 105:20,22

approves 27:6 91:19

approving 91:21

**area** 34:18 39:15 76:10 84:16 108:20

**areas** 32:3 44:17 106:25

argue 64:22

**aspect** 39:12

assert 46:16

assess 42:5

assessed 107:9

assesses 68:19

assessment 105:11

**assessor** 37:5 47:18 68:19,22,23 69:3 84:16

assessors 41:22,23

asset 42:4 100:15

assets 73:14 110:6

assist 34:21

assistance 36:18,19

**association** 41:22 102:7

**assume** 56:19,22

assurances 38:14

assure 47:8

astray 23:8

attached 68:23 77:12

attempting 65:7

**attention** 40:17 61:11 77:4 86:10 115:18

attorney 102:11,13,18

audience 35:25 114:2

**August** 40:8 110:15,16 118:7

**authority** 24:11,15 61:6 65:25 85:24 90:10

authorization 103:13

automated 38:15

avoidance 71:4

**aware** 32:15 103:12

awareness 31:16



# TORRES REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES
www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

August 10, 2016 Index: back..CLAPINSKI

В

back 21:21 30:1 32:11 35:10,23 37:12 38:8 40:2 42:5 43:24 44:3 45:17 52:4,11,22 53:4 58:3 62:1,13 76:22 82:11,23 87:3,4 93:9,16 102:24 106:20 108:3,15 118:18.20

**backed** 44:20

**bad** 23:20 77:10 94:7 112:6

badge 108:11,14

balance 37:3

barn 104:20

**based** 25:10 28:11 55:16 74:8 86:9 95:18 97:12

**basic** 32:18

**basically** 33:21 48:11 54:3 78:10

**batch** 34:8

**Baton** 31:20 40:24 80:20 84:14

bear 99:5

beat 96:25

beating 95:16

**begin** 32:12 35:3 41:11 70:24

**beginning** 24:2 51:15 87:4,10

behalf 102:7

believes 39:15

**belive** 40:14

**benefit** 45:8 86:21,22 95:5

**big** 34:23 36:6 51:12 55:3,6 77:14 80:20 82:10 94:4 115:9

**Bill** 94:17

billions 69:25 100:10

**bit** 85:16 99:18

bite-sized 37:2

**blah** 53:2,3 82:6 87:19, 20,24

blew 82:11

**Block** 22:12 23:6,12 24:25 25:6,14 26:1,15 27:19 30:3,15 45:12,20

blows 82:10,25

**blue** 49:12,15,23 50:3, 5,17 62:8,11,20

board 21:3,4,21 23:15, 18,22 24:3,14,18 25:20 26:5 28:6,19 30:8,23 31:10 32:20,21,23 33:3 34:15 36:6 39:17 43:25 47:22 48:6 52:22 53:4, 12 54:12 61:6 76:10 82:10,22 84:18 85:20, 21 86:8,18 91:19 93:24 95:24 96:3,21 99:22 103:6 105:21 110:15 111:11 116:10,12

**boards** 43:2

**Bob** 102:4

**Bobby** 45:9

bodies 48:1 91:11

**body's** 91:12

**book** 107:8

**books** 72:23 74:2 78:20 79:23

bound 91:11 92:1

**bring** 32:12,18 33:11 34:14,25 35:23 36:23 39:16

brings 43:5 67:1 90:25

broadly 109:9

**brought** 40:19 93:9

**Bs** 101:11

budgets 29:16

**build** 37:24 38:11 70:8 83:3 106:11

**building** 23:4 57:3 70:3,7,8 73:24 102:21 107:11 114:2 118:23

buildings 72:12 108:3

built 113:23

**Bunkie** 65:17

**business** 20:12,17 21:11 28:16 40:14 43:9 105:12

businesses 28:10

**busy** 31:19

**buy** 74:2,10

C

Calcasieu 36:19

**call** 24:23 32:17 36:5 69:23 82:22 83:10 98:13 105:17 116:12

called 114:16

calling 38:4 112:19

cap 43:13 44:21 53:25

**capital** 42:11,21 58:25 99:25 100:5,23 101:13 104:7 111:18 114:14,22

Capitalization 77:5

**capitalize** 67:5,15,20 72:22 74:4,10

Capitol 30:1

capture 39:6

care 111:6

carefully 60:4 77:15

**carries** 82:3,4

**case** 35:13 38:7 64:23 65:15,16,17 66:10 71:3 78:4 104:10 112:13

cases 34:16 42:19

61:10 65:12 68:6 76:4,6

catch 69:8

caught 59:20 107:25

**CEA** 37:20 38:9 87:6, 19,23 89:13,22

**CEAS** 91:12

**Cencor** 106:4

CEO 28:21 29:8

certainty 27:2

certification 53:8

certifications 34:17

certified 34:19

cetera 44:1 48:4

**Chairman** 22:14 35:7 39:20 98:1

**chance** 32:10

**change** 28:4 38:4 40:10 51:4,6 52:16,23 53:24 54:23 55:12 57:19 58:7 76:14 77:15 90:15,22 91:6 93:3,25

**changed** 49:13 56:10 57:20 90:23 91:11

changing 29:2

**charges** 112:25

**Charles** 84:12,15

**checks** 43:8 108:17,21 109:3

Chehardy 37:5 38:25 47:18

**CHENG** 71:22

**chief** 47:10

**choice** 67:19

**chunk** 77:14

**CIB** 52:22

circumstances 36:16

city 91:19

CLAPINSKI 49:20



# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

August 10, 2016 Index: clarification..copy

#### **C&I MEETING**

50:7,12,19,24 51:5,10, 20 52:6,12,17 53:6,21 54:18 55:25 56:24 57:22 58:11,18 59:1,7, 16,23 60:15 61:2 62:7, 18 63:4,15 64:3,11,20 65:3 67:2 68:17 69:22 70:13,19 71:5,11,15,24 73:15,23 75:12 76:13 77:23 78:7 79:11,24 80:11,16,22 81:3,13,22 82:15,20 83:9,16 84:9 86:6 88:2,15 89:1,15 94:20 95:19 97:6,11,18 98:5

**clarification** 92:10 97:8 100:2

clarifications 41:24

clarified 93:8

clarify 85:19

clarifying 54:4

clarity 31:9 61:18 110:1

clause 89:12 90:4

clean 109:4

cleanup 49:24

**clear** 25:18 31:12 36:11 61:13 64:9 86:1,20 87:6,18,21

**client** 31:9

close 31:8 40:21

**closer** 33:23

**clue** 23:23

**CNI** 33:3

**codes** 62:15

coin 27:25

**COLEMAN** 22:6,23 26:9 92:11,18 93:19

colleague 40:8

collect 76:15

collecting 42:6

**collection** 76:18,20

collects 39:5

comfort 36:8

comfortable 44:15

**comment** 22:13 32:24 37:7 48:10 85:9 99:9

**comments** 31:5 34:3 97:13 99:5,15 102:8 114:8

**commerce** 24:18 25:21 26:6 36:5,14 108:3

**commission** 30:21 34:4 39:5,14 41:10,21 61:24 105:22

commitment 112:10

**commitments** 47:15 113:10

**committee** 32:12,19, 21 35:1 37:8 49:3,10 51:24 58:6 97:1,21 102:6 117:18

**committee's** 95:5 104:24

communicate 26:7 32:9

communicating 31:23

communication 39:14

communities 36:8 46:22

community 31:20 38:9

**companies** 36:3 45:1 70:1 100:6 110:8 114:15

**company** 28:22 33:5,7, 8 35:14 36:2 38:7,8,25 55:2 64:21 103:3,4 106:20 112:6

compare 104:4

compete 39:23 44:5

competition 104:22

competitive 44:11

complete 70:25

completed 71:21

**completely** 43:4 63:7 77:19 104:11

**completion** 70:22 103:11

**complex** 35:6 36:21 37:13 42:13

complexities 37:14

compliance 83:24

complicated 89:7

complied 116:6

complies 85:23

**comply** 20:16,17 71:9 90:12 104:8 111:3

**comprehensive** 22:13 32:22 34:13 36:23

**computer** 72:16,18 73:8,21 108:19

**computers** 64:1 67:13 108:8,10,17,19

concept 47:6

concepts 100:3,7

**concern** 33:12,19 41:7 66:12 68:13

concerned 116:5

**concerns** 32:8,25

conclude 23:14 42:24

concludes 22:12 119:6

confused 69:18

confusion 61:13 85:14

conscientious 31:23

consent 46:18 47:7

consequence 34:20

consideration 99:22

considered 43:23

consistent 25:22 26:4

68:22

constant 57:7

**constantly** 29:2,16 106:20

**constitution** 38:6 61:6, 18 66:2 67:17 68:1 88:4,10,13,20

constitutional 61:1 104:6

constitutionally 44:2

construct 100:11

constructed 107:7

**construction** 51:15 69:16 70:9 71:8

Consulting 99:12,21 106:5 109:16

contact 20:5.19

contemplate 39:1

contiguous 68:12

**continue** 23:15 24:13 38:22 40:15

contract 23:23 24:19 33:5 37:22 38:17 39:8 46:24 66:13 70:22,24 91:15,22,23 92:8 95:21 96:5 110:3 111:24 113:22 114:1

contracts 24:6,8,11, 16,21 25:19,21 26:3 27:6,15 33:1,12 37:19 38:24 42:19,22 43:1 69:24 70:5,20 96:22 100:21 101:12 109:23 110:14

**control** 35:12,15 73:7 103:17,22 104:4,21 105:21 108:16 109:1

contusional 24:10

conversation 39:2

cooperative 38:5,19

coordination 39:4

copy 49:11 117:14



### TORRES REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES
www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

corner 44:21 develop 46:22 **define** 55:4 61:5.7.12. D 20.22 64:19 66:19 76:1 corporation 38:10 development 36:9 87:5,17 44:14 corporations 105:2 **D-2** 81:16,21 83:5 defined 75:11 76:2 dialog 34:15 39:13 correct 49:21 50:8,13 79:8 damaged 77:19 42:15 62:9,19 70:20 71:6,12 definition 35:10 60:25 dang 92:25 80:12 96:18 105:10,19 dictate 45:25 61:7,17 62:14 64:9 114:10 **data** 58:17 76:15,18 66:18 68:4,20 69:7 72:8 dictating 21:16 correctly 110:2 111:1 87:3,6,9,12 88:4,13 date 53:1 70:23 72:1,3 dictionary 40:5 89:6 116:16 85:20 cost 37:25 39:6,10,11 die 103:3 definitions 35:9 42:2.15 56:5 67:15 day 24:5 27:8 38:3 70:21 77:18,20 83:3 difference 83:4 92:17, 65:14 70:17 90:18 definitive 114:9 104:13 107:10 22,23 93:3 117:25 118:22 **Del** 108:18 couches 77:8 differently 60:12 days 52:21 department 33:7,10 115:17 council 91:19 **DE** 53:20 54:10,22 55:8 62:21 difficult 47:20 counsel 37:5 65:5 dead 95:16 96:25 dip 67:22 department's 49:25 count 38:18 deadline 59:21 55:10 direct 40:10,12 47:4 couple 49:8 59:4 102:8 deadlines 34:13 **depend** 44:16 directed 40:17 court 61:10 68:6 76:4,6 deal 36:15 40:20,21 87:10 dependable 29:4,5 directions 30:1 41:10 43:16 67:10 77:1 79:17 86:1 94:4 115:16 Depending 68:15 cover 22:21 directly 47:1 116:11 117:16 covered 70:24 81:1,10 depends 62:16 disagree 60:11 64:15 dealing 61:23 78:12 91:3 75:18 deprecating 61:25 79:7,15 88:10,11 89:11 covering 82:5,6,7 disagreeing 88:16 depreciate 67:21 deals 37:13 78:5 81:9 **cracks** 103:5 disapproved 23:24 82:22 89:13 depreciated 33:21 craft 31:11 disapproves 27:6 dealt 53:23 depreciating 104:13 **crane** 84:11,13 disaster 79:6,8 80:3 debate 36:13,25 37:14 depreciation 39:11 81:2,25 82:7 83:6 111:12 41:11 create 25:19 27:1 36:13 43:20 **disasters** 79:4,5,15 **December** 110:12,16 describe 33:18 81:10 82:6 creates 66:11 decide 45:16 85:25 designed 67:23 86:9 disclose 44:8 creating 66:24 79:9 desk 31:10 46:25 75:9 94:8 111:14 discretion 65:14,16 decided 40:24 desks 63:25 67:13 73:9 83:21 106:9,18 credit 94:22 95:8 decides 45:9 74:3 discuss 30:24 39:4 credits 95:11 deciding 97:24 destroyed 78:21 52:5 critical 43:18 68:5 decision 22:9 37:10 determination 21:7,8 discussed 117:12 43:15 66:1 85:22 93:18 curiosities 101:9 65:5 88:21 discussing 85:11 111:10 current 50:11,13 51:9, determinations 28:12 117:5 decisions 74:23 90:5 11,16 54:9 55:10 59:9 discussion 52:3 76:7, 92:24 107:9 declaration 38:9,24 determine 63:5 10,23 86:9,15 114:4



curtains 77:7

# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

defer 45:22

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

August 10, 2016 Index: discussions..explosion

### **C&I MEETING**

discussions 24:13 early 103:10 103:18 104:2 111:4 enter 87:23 92:7 97:14 115:4 entered 109:23 110:3 **easier** 34:24 disinfectant 94:7 **exempt** 42:7 84:15 easiest 34:16 37:2 entire 28:6 104:1,3,5,11 district 48:3.4 **easily** 104:17 entities 22:8 exempted 41:11 divided 33:5 69:2 ebb 29:2 entity 37:9 90:10 91:10 107:11,13 dock 107:5 exemption 37:23 economic 36:9 44:14 environment 34:2 dog 47:24 46:21 48:7 66:10 69:16 70:10,12, environmental 87:9 17 77:18 78:19 79:23 dollar 39:23 **Ed** 40:8 104:8 105:22 82:23 83:3 84:6,12 87:8 dollars 77:14 100:11 effective 85:20 100:18,20 104:16 **envision** 32:13 45:10 112:1,11,20,25 113:6 **Don** 30:9,18 33:15 41:5 effectively 100:18 envisioned 45:17 exemptions 42:13 42:24 45:22 48:22 72:13 **effort** 37:6 117:19 84:17 86:21 110:7 76:24 109:15 110:21 **EPA** 33:6 113:19 elected 31:24 91:12 exercise 65:14,15,25 equipment 33:22 door 80:14 electrical 107:5 **Exhibit** 35:19,25 36:1, 35:12,15 61:25 72:12 door's 67:8 75:9 77:12 84:2 87:8 7,8 37:20,21 38:4 element 81:25 100:14 101:11 double 67:22 essentially 31:14 38:9 elements 31:2 **Exhibits** 88:24,25 40:21 41:21 doubt 67:11 eligible 66:9 102:22 100:13 establish 37:21 61:6 draft 97:15,22,24 embedded 41:24 exist 49:22 established 37:21 drafting 99:23 100:1 **emphasis** 40:10,12 existed 27:9 116:7 establishing 31:7 drafts 32:13,19 35:19, **employ** 38:12 existing 27:11 33:12 establishment 63:6.9 20 40:13 50:4 83:20 employed 113:3 dramatically 105:6 **estate** 107:20 exit 112:5 employee 108:11 drapes 77:7 106:24 estimate 39:9 expand 21:5 empowered 47:21 draw 93:15 estimated 53:1 expansion 100:19 encounter 33:2 drawn 60:25 92:25 eventually 37:1 expectation 69:17 encourage 49:6 **dreamed** 117:20 everything's 59:14 expected 67:18 end 29:6 32:1 38:1 drive 39:19 39:2,7 43:2 65:13 70:17 **examples** 67:6.7 expenditure 103:13 71:9 89:21 117:24 driving 29:18 37:9 exceed 69:25 77:20 expenditures 29:17 **endeavor** 38:5,20 104:7 115:6 **drop** 54:15 **exception** 33:4 105:19 ending 53:1 72:1 expenses 74:11 due 100:14 exchanging 42:16 **energy** 28:22 excluded 103:17 104:1 expiration 110:11 duplicating 54:2 enforceability 38:18 expired 52:25 53:9 executed 33:1 Ε **engage** 36:15 explain 52:23 **executive** 20:8 24:12 25:10,22 26:21 27:7,9, engagements 31:21 explained 29:13 e-mail 30:20,22 14 31:18 43:24 49:19 enjoy 38:22 explodes 78:18 58:10 59:13 60:7 62:23 earlier 61:10 85:17 **ensure** 24:3 41:17 59:9 65:8 77:25 78:10 83:15, 109:20 **explosion** 80:5,20 82:8 19 85:13 87:12,16 90:6, 61:22 104:7 13,14,22 91:4 100:7



# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

expressions 78:14 extend 71:23 72:2 **extent** 104:20 externally 35:21 **Exxon** 44:6 eye 59:20 eyes 66:20 78:13 F fabrications 108:13 face 86:19 **facial** 78:14 facilities 73:7 107:1.7 108:9 facility 42:20 56:18 57:3 63:12 66:12,14 69:16,17 70:7 72:12 77:19 78:20 84:12 87:22 107:8,10 facility's 66:9 104:9 fact 46:1 55:17 98:8 fact-to-face 31:22 factor 29:18 116:14 factors 65:22 fair 38:23 117:25 **fairly** 34:9 104:12 fall 100:7 106:14 falls 103:5 fee 46:18 feel 32:21 33:1 35:22 95:16 110:25 111:9,13

**Fifteen** 105:13 Fifty-million 77:14 fight 29:16 figure 56:17 66:19 72:14,24 88:1,6 111:12 118:2 file 38:3 54:5,25 55:2,7 56:3 86:23 106:21 113:3 114:17,21 115:2 **filed** 52:24 53:9 57:6 110:10,13 111:23 113:7 115:11 filing 56:5 59:21 116:2 **filters** 112:6 final 20:4 35:22 39:6, 10,11 42:2,15 70:21 108:12 **finalize** 98:12,19 finally 91:5 financed 100:9 financing 70:2 find 29:19 68:23 113:20 117:24 fine 27:23 64:12 114:5 finish 21:20 22:16 97:2 fire 48:3 firewalls 107:5 fish 40:4 fit 20:1 21:6 51:17 87:13 fits 83:21 **five-year** 112:25 five-year-old 110:14 113:25 fixing 118:23 flexibility 45:2 flip 79:3 91:9

flow 29:2 flush 113:16,19 focus 99:25 folks 25:11 **follow** 28:5 39:15 56:15 74:19 **footprint** 35:14 68:15 forgo 45:16 **form** 97:14 114:17 115:3 formal 47:2 forward 20:18 26:3,25 32:20 33:4 34:22 35:4 36:4 90:19 117:5 found 30:21 48:16 frankly 20:13 frequently 41:25 friends 90:17 94:5 front 52:11 73:24 74:1, 11,23 75:6 77:6 106:24 front-end 69:23 frowning 87:25 fruit 32:17 full 32:19,21 107:12 full-time 47:9 full-view 31:16 fully 42:25 43:23 44:18 furniture 72:6 108:7 future 48:7 117:1,6 futures 32:2 **fuzzy** 85:12 G game 20:5 63:18 Gas 102:6

floor 108:10.18

Index: expressions..granted gather 32:8 gathering 21:3 geared 68:24 gearing 37:18 Geismar 81:4 general 102:13,18 generally 69:24 98:15 generate 74:25 gentlemen 29:22 48:19 give 21:12,18 28:20 30:23 38:7,14 42:2 44:2,19 60:6,7 65:12 82:9 95:7 101:21 106:18 112:13 118:12 giving 21:15 38:5 39:21 45:6 65:25 **glad** 23:10 goal 31:11 goals 27:14 **good** 24:1 32:16 46:3 54:5 67:7 69:7,8 84:1, 13 102:4 113:1 114:7 goods 74:14 governance 32:4 government 21:10 22:8 29:15 39:22 45:25 61:16 75:5 Governor 23:18,24 24:7,10,20,21 25:23 27:4,5,16 29:19 40:19

43:23 45:10 46:9.15 47:5,8 90:6 91:22 92:12,14 93:4 96:16,20 112:9 113:9

Governor's 20:17 26:4,20 31:5,10 35:16 45:6 46:25 117:10 118:1

grandfathered 85:15 granted 77:18 84:7



feeling 90:8 94:18

felt 34:12 55:2 57:8

feels 114:10,11

fence 68:14,16

field 34:9

# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

flipping 85:1

flood 110:14

**COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES** www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

August 10, 2016 Index: gray..internally

#### **C&I MEETING**

**gray** 34:18 49:13,16 50:17

**great** 31:7 33:12 36:24 94:7

greater 39:4

green 35:14

ground 42:4,18

grounding 38:6

group 90:17

grow 45:1

guarantee 70:1

**guess** 26:20,23 29:24 33:17 60:23 77:11 84:6 85:2 89:21 101:8 118:8

**guidance** 21:15,18 23:18 37:16 44:19

**guide** 31:8

guideline 104:6

guidelines 28:4

gut 90:8 94:18

**gutted** 40:3,5

**guy** 66:22 73:20 75:8 86:19 87:22 90:19

guy's 90:16

**guys** 55:18 88:20 111:17

### Н

hand 52:2

handle 55:13 116:7

handled 110:2,18 115:6

handling 75:6

hang 49:7

**happen** 82:8 98:11 103:2 118:23

**happened** 53:25 57:2 67:24 93:15

happening 31:25

happy 22:11 41:2 58:19

hardball 47:3

hardest 72:14

harmful 118:3

he'll 22:21

head 88:3

headed 67:24

headquartered 28:22

**hear** 23:10 40:18 41:9 48:25 52:3 77:6 99:6,16

heard 110:1

hearing 32:24 43:17 103:9 115:1

held 72:9

helpful 45:3 46:4 109:8

helping 45:1 72:16

hesitating 25:15,16

higher 105:12

**highlighted** 49:12,17 50:14 51:3 59:9 84:20 86:7

historically 100:16

hit 51:25 108:8 112:16

holding 56:7

**home** 39:19 93:24 109:10

honor 113:10

honored 85:15

**hope** 27:20 31:13 32:12 52:2 93:17 94:10

hopes 90:15

**hoping** 25:18 27:12 100:1

**horse** 97:1 104:20

hours 95:17

**House** 20:9,21,25 21:22 22:2 23:2 28:7

35:18 65:10,20 66:3 67:25 76:5,17 78:1 83:18 84:23 88:17 89:3, 17 90:1 91:16 92:3 96:1,8,19 98:20 113:21 116:13,20

huge 73:8

hung 36:12

hunt 47:24

hurts 44:20

hypothetical 47:6

- 1

**idea** 35:11 36:13 42:8, 14 46:3 70:4 91:3

ideas 76:19

identify 99:8

identifying 32:16

**Illinois** 104:12

imagine 46:8 72:21

impact 21:11 40:24

impacted 32:5 39:22

impacts 21:15

implement 86:3

important 31:3 39:3,15 40:16 43:6 48:9 55:20 60:24 62:2 63:18 76:19 97:1,5 113:15 116:15 117:24

impression 116:1

**improvement** 39:20 42:11

**improvements** 42:20 100:4 107:9,10

in-house 76:25

inaction 37:16

incentives 40:13

include 31:2,21 34:3 106:8 116:14

including 32:3

**income** 67:20,21 105:3

incomplete 34:6

inconsistency 53:8

inconsistent 50:15

incorporate 89:6 90:3

increase 104:9

incrementally 55:19,

23

indicating 26:23 27:10

individuals 37:7

induce 89:7

Industrial 50:22

industry 24:18 25:21 26:6,23 36:6 46:6

information 21:3,9,10, 17 22:14 39:6 42:16 65:12 104:17,25 118:21

initial 42:9 91:2

input 26:21 35:22 36:25 45:24,25 46:2

inside 50:5 66:1 67:16

installs 35:15

**instance** 29:3 72:18 82:24

integral 72:7,9,25 73:16 74:6,15 75:2,11, 20 102:11,19

intended 26:7 53:11

intending 54:22 55:11

**intent** 55:9 74:12 87:16 102:25 104:5,6 111:4 112:15 115:14

interest 88:5

interested 49:7

interesting 30:22 49:8

internal 35:20

internally 32:9



# TORRES REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

interpretation 79:10 85:24

**interpreted** 74:7 109:9

intradepartmental 39:13

intrigued 68:6

inventory 94:22 95:8,

invested 38:15 40:13

investigate 35:8

investing 31:4

investment 42:9 101:13 112:8 113:4

investments 100:6,23

**invite** 51:24

involve 47:4

**involved** 65:21 106:11 117:21

**involvement** 47:5 111:5

**issue** 31:18 43:6,16 62:4 70:16 76:12 79:14 89:13 101:16 114:1 115:9

**issued** 31:18 42:21 115:5

**issues** 32:14 34:23 35:6,10,17 36:22 44:9 115:10

ITC 95:12

item 39:3 53:15 59:19 60:22 61:21 67:1 69:14 75:20 79:21,25 100:8 103:16

items 34:24 41:5 70:24 106:21 107:6 117:10

**ITEP** 21:4 27:6 33:20 35:13 37:10,22 39:8 40:10 43:13 47:2 63:2 64:7 66:8,13,17,25 67:15,21,23 72:11,22, 24 82:12 93:1,11 94:22

95:6

**ITEPS** 41:18

J

**January** 100:14 110:5, 6 112:16 114:16

**Jimmy** 99:12,20 101:23 106:15

**job** 25:9,10 31:7 34:17 38:18 47:9 87:19

**jobs** 21:7 38:16 87:7,23 89:13,22 96:10 111:5, 14 116:15

joined 37:15

**judge** 26:17

**July** 110:11

**June** 31:17 34:8 42:3 114:20,25 115:4

justifiable 103:6

justification 103:1

Κ

Katrina 80:23 81:1

Katrina-type 80:24

keeping 83:13

key 103:1

kicked 100:20

**kind** 37:15 79:17 84:7 107:25

knowledge 21:5

L

**Labelle** 118:16

LABI 31:22 40:3 116:24

lackadaisical 111:8

Lake 84:12,14

language 53:3 54:1

55:14 61:1,11,18 62:8, 11 67:9 77:16 81:9 88:12 102:24 106:8 109:4,7,10

laptop 108:18

large 28:22 34:7 44:13 56:16 100:10,23 101:12 105:1

larger 36:21 106:10

**Lasalle** 118:16,18,20, 25 119:2,3

laser 99:25

late 86:10

latitude 82:9

laughing 97:10

laundry 62:17,22

law 24:6 41:25

**lawyer** 91:14

**LDR** 95:10

learned 67:13

leased 66:9

leave 44:23 85:9 93:23 103:2

**led** 20:5 23:7,21 24:2, 14,17 33:3 39:4,5,14 41:16

**leg** 105:1

legal 36:9

legislation 95:6

legislature 91:18

legitimate 76:12

115:16 116:6

**lengthy** 30:10

**Leonard** 99:10,12,19, 20 101:1,6,18,22,23 102:1

letter 111:22 113:3

**level** 33:11 37:15,18 47:16

library 48:3

liens 33:7

lieu 64:6

light 38:3 43:5

limit 81:11

limitation 54:24 55:5

limited 80:2

limits 106:6

lined 27:13

lines 100:11 101:9

list 51:2 62:17,22

115:19

listen 32:7 96:25

**literally** 90:15 108:10

lives 109:1

LMA 31:22

**LMOGA** 103:9

loading 107:5

**lobby** 90:18

local 22:8 32:4 37:9,14, 18 39:21 40:2 45:24,25 87:7 89:23 105:21 111:5

local-elected 91:10

**locals** 37:18 41:8,14 42:25 45:7,8,15 47:13 105:16

locate 28:16

located 69:2

location 84:7

logs 108:15,16

**long** 24:9 36:13 38:13 44:15 55:18 62:16 71:25

longer 39:8

looked 115:14

loophole 40:22



# TORRES REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

loose 75:16 111:7

loosely 72:9 92:25

looseness 75:18

loosey 74:7

lose 108:2

losing 46:5

lot 24:3 29:19 31:16 32:24 34:23,24 35:7 37:6,7 41:23 65:21 66:25 70:1 90:25 94:9 100:5 104:10,16 109:22 114:1,15,24 115:1 116:24

**Louisiana** 28:10,15,24 29:1,9,12,14 48:11 93:10,25 97:3 102:6

low-hanging 32:17

### М

machinery 83:20

**made** 36:1 48:9 53:2 65:5 67:14 90:6 100:6 107:9 112:7

maintenance 41:18 61:23 87:8 99:25 100:3 113:22

**major** 22:5,6,22,23 26:9 48:1 92:11,18 93:19

make 20:6,15 22:17
24:15 25:18 28:12
31:15 32:16 34:8,16
37:17 41:18 43:15 46:5
47:15 51:16 52:10
61:19 64:23 71:14
72:23,25 74:16,23,24
76:6 78:12,25 79:14
82:19 83:11 86:1,11
87:6,11,18,20 88:20
90:21 93:17 97:19
105:16 110:25 111:10,
16 112:14 116:12

**makes** 38:25 46:7 73:22 77:20 86:20 87:21 90:4

**making** 22:9 34:20 35:3 36:7 39:21 52:5 75:9 85:21

management 103:13

managers 29:12

Mandi 95:1

manmade 79:8 80:3 81:2,9,25 82:7,24

manufacture 66:15

manufactured 74:14 75:20

manufacturer 66:24

manufacturers 66:15

manufacturing 21:7 35:11 60:25 61:8,13 62:12,14 63:2,6,8,9,19 64:2,8,10 66:12,18,21, 23 67:1,16,23 68:1 72:8,17,19 74:15 75:1, 2,21 77:8 85:23 87:4,5, 17,18,21,22 88:4,22 89:6 93:8 102:10,20 107:3 108:10,25

manuscript 117:9

March 114:23

margin 105:3

Materials 67:5

Matt 96:14

matter 25:22 38:17 76:7

**Matthew** 23:1,3 24:23, 24 28:1,18 29:25 45:5 49:6 96:15

Matthew's 31:5 117:11

**MCA** 60:5 85:12 115:16,18

**MCAS** 56:21 95:17 96:3,9 109:20,21 114:16,24 115:5,10 116:2,6,14,15

**means** 44:25 74:11 87:6,7 100:1,3

meat 48:24,25

mechanism 22:7

media 40:3

mediator 47:10

**meet** 34:13 36:4 45:2 93:13 98:12

meeting 30:10,20 31:19 33:19 34:20 35:1, 3 36:5,6 63:25 67:14 74:8 76:23 86:8 97:15 98:1,3,8,11,13 117:1,6 118:7 119:3,5,6

meetings 28:21 110:17

meets 24:19

**mega** 40:10,12 101:16 106:15

Melissa 49:4 109:7

**member** 102:5

**members** 30:8 51:24 60:18 64:15 67:11 109:15 110:23 117:13

mention 39:18 41:9

mentioned 61:10

mere 24:6,8

**message** 40:16,18 41:6 97:3

metal 108:13

Mid-continent 102:6

middle 45:2 69:20

mill 72:18 73:20

**millages** 21:13 32:5 38:7 44:1

**MILLER** 26:11

**millions** 100:10

mind 46:21 64:2 72:14 88:19,23 89:5 91:2 99:5

minds 26:24

mine 86:3

**minimal** 104:12

**minute** 34:4 49:7 82:13 111:16

miscellaneous 42:21 58:25 111:18 114:14,22

MITCHELL 94:23 95:2,

modern 108:9

**MOLLER** 75:25

moment 40:14 66:11

**Monday** 118:12

**money** 37:25 41:13 114:19

moneys 114:25

**Montana** 104:15

**months** 20:13 52:25 109:19,22 110:10

monumental 117:19

Mornay 40:9

morning 102:4

**move** 20:18 30:10 33:16 49:1 68:7 69:12 78:13 86:5

**moving** 20:4 34:21 36:3 118:22,25 119:2

multi-billion-dollar 44:6

**multiple** 42:12,19 56:20,23 100:11 101:9, 10,11

multiple-action 58:4 municipalities 43:3

municipality 47:21

#### Ν

**NAICS'** 62:15

**natural** 79:5,6 81:2,10 82:5 83:6

necessarily 107:6,18 108:24



### TORRES REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

August 10, 2016 Index: needed..place

**needed** 34:14 59:5 107:6

negotiate 44:20 47:1

**negotiated** 44:10 46:20

negotiation 47:4

negotiations 46:16

netted 41:6

noon 30:8

**normal** 50:10

note 35:24

noted 33:19

notes 86:25

**notice** 50:14 52:25 53:18 55:8 59:6,15 60:6,7 115:12 116:3

noticed 59:5

**notification** 37:23 38:2 39:9 53:1 111:23 113:7 114:17 115:3

**number** 30:7 34:7 54:25 55:6,12 56:23 60:23 68:11 91:22 100:9

0

obligation 118:5

obliged 90:11

obscure 88:24

occur 100:5

occurred 54:24

occurrence 79:7

occurs 107:8

**October** 110:16

offer 111:22

offered 112:10

offers 44:8

office 20:19 72:6,20

73:24 74:1,11,23 75:6 77:7 102:20 105:20 106:24 108:7,19 117:11 118:1

officials 31:24

Oil 102:6

older 107:1 108:3

one's 71:10

ongoing 31:17 56:22

online 21:3 57:4

**open** 36:25 67:8 77:21 80:14

operating 49:2

operation 72:8 74:24

operational 69:18

opinion 28:10 102:18

**opinions** 76:11 102:13

opportunities 35:5

**opportunity** 31:3 34:15 44:17 98:2

order 20:8 21:6,18 24:13 25:10,22 26:21 27:7,9,14 31:18 43:24 49:19 58:10 59:13 60:7 62:23 65:8 70:2 77:25 78:10 83:15,19 85:13 87:12,16 90:6,13,14,22 91:4 100:7 103:18 104:2 111:4 115:5

orders 108:20

organization 68:15

organizations 37:7

original 51:14 77:20 78:19 79:22,25 92:1 95:18,23

originally 93:6 107:7

ornament 24:8

ornaments 24:7

Ouachita 36:18

outcome 58:8

outreach 37:6

owned 66:22

**owner** 69:15

Р

**P-7** 80:4

**p.m.** 119:6

package 32:22 46:11

pages 85:1

paid 44:1 70:11

paper 44:6,7

**paperclips** 67:13 74:3, 10 108:22

**paragraph** 51:13,14 53:24 64:10 66:7,8 69:14 72:6 77:16,17 85:2

parameters 57:9 86:11

**parish** 21:9 38:6,11 40:20,25 41:1 44:4,17 46:4,7,11,17 47:1,21 48:5 69:1

**parishes** 36:17 44:3,4, 14 48:2

part 30:10 37:6,20 40:23 42:8 44:10,13 49:25 51:12,14 52:23 55:10,20 56:7 64:1 72:7,25 73:1 74:5 77:8 79:20 81:24 82:21 87:12 92:8 102:19 108:12,14,16 112:19,24 114:13

partially 77:19

participate 54:7

parties 33:1 66:14

parts 57:1 109:17

passed 94:17 95:6

past 28:1 32:1 33:23

37:22 38:17 72:9 88:12 93:15 pathway 34:17 36:11

**patient** 117:23

Patterson 117:8

**pay** 29:6 43:7

**paying** 107:12

payroll 21:8 38:13

penalty 86:9,24

pencils 108:22

pens 108:22

**people** 38:1,12 44:25 66:21,25 74:22 91:14 93:6 114:1,15 115:1 116:2 117:20

**percent** 39:24 43:3,4, 14 45:9,15,17,18,19 62:12 63:1,3,6,7,11,19, 22 64:6,7 83:6 84:3 104:14 105:12

**period** 42:7 53:5 70:10, 11 71:21,25 74:4 86:21

permanently 104:3,5

person 60:13 103:2

personal 43:7 105:2

**perspective** 28:15 39:19 44:22 45:6

**phrase** 51:14

pick 86:14 117:16

picture 34:23 42:13,17

**piece** 33:22 68:12 69:21 80:10 83:4,13 84:17 95:6

pieces 33:20 37:2 57:4

Pierson 22:10,18 30:25 33:25 41:20 43:21 46:13 47:17 48:12 62:13 111:15,21 112:4, 18,23 118:17,24

**pilots** 46:23

**place** 20:16 21:15 30:2 45:24 62:23 77:13 86:11,17 87:13 88:5,6,



# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

August 10, 2016 Index: plan..ramp

#### **C&I MEETING**

11.14 95:17 115:22

**plan** 46:18 97:22 110:18

plant 29:12 37:24 38:11 46:6 56:18 68:2 72:15 73:8 77:12 82:10 100:19 103:2 113:23

plant's 37:25

plausible-needed

**play** 21:25 44:14 55:20 56:23 63:3,18

plugging 114:19

podium 99:7

**point** 22:17 23:17 24:1 27:1 29:8 36:1 37:16 39:20 42:3 43:18 45:21, 23 69:7 97:7 99:8 111:25 112:8 116:16

pointed 35:18

policy 80:7 82:1,22

**pollution** 35:12,15 103:16,22 104:4,21 105:21

pollutions 33:6

**portion** 32:7,8 84:2 95:7

portions 49:22

position 44:11 118:4

possibly 33:23 36:10

post-6/24 34:2

**posted** 113:6

**practice** 49:25 54:9,21 55:10 56:11 62:20 65:6

**pre-june** 115:6 116:7, 18

predictability 24:4,19 26:10,12,14,25 27:2,8, 11 28:10 31:6 103:8

predictable 24:16 25:4 26:18 27:12 28:2

predominantly 100:22

prefer 29:15 90:13

**present** 28:2 36:24 38:8 76:16

presentations 22:17

presented 100:9

presently 35:12

president 48:6

pretty 77:14 109:18

**previous** 65:7 86:8 89:11 91:12 100:21

previously 27:12 83:1

**price** 83:2

**printing** 108:21

**prior** 35:2 53:2 65:8 95:17 110:11 114:25

proactive 32:5

**problem** 43:9,10,11,19 58:19 63:24 65:6,24 75:16 79:10 91:15

procedure 33:24

**Procedures** 20:15 98:15

proceed 32:11 36:25

**process** 20:7,16 21:2 22:1 23:16,21,22 24:17 25:19,20 26:5,18,22 27:4,13 29:7 31:4,9,13, 24 33:2 38:2 47:2 74:5, 15 75:1,2 77:9 90:24 91:1 93:7 94:3,10 97:23 98:3,23 99:23 100:2,13 101:8,12 102:20

processed 110:8

processes 26:3

product 75:19 108:13

products 65:2

professional 46:22

profit 64:22

**program** 23:21 36:4 38:5 39:21 40:3,6,19 48:14 54:2,4 70:2 100:16 112:20,25

**programs** 21:2 37:4 42:12 54:6 68:21

progress 32:16 35:4

**project** 36:6 39:7 44:6 46:3 47:14 55:3,5 56:16 67:1 70:3,21,25 72:1 100:10,23 101:10 106:12.15

project's 106:10

**projects** 21:6 44:23 47:11 55:17 58:5 69:25 71:20 100:9 101:17

**proper** 109:3

properties 41:12

**property** 33:20 41:17 44:9 66:9 68:12 70:11 77:4,24 78:5 81:8 84:16 100:14,20 102:5 104:9, 25 105:4,5 107:13

**proposal** 43:13 51:23 52:22

proposals 40:9 52:10

**proposed** 35:5 50:5 52:4

**provide** 23:17 36:8 38:10 47:13 66:14,16

**provided** 35:2 58:8 72:2

provision 57:21

**public** 60:9 67:17,18 97:14 99:4 114:8

publically 94:2

pull 87:10

**pulling** 108:12

purchase 83:2

purchased 83:1

pure 83:14

**purpose** 55:14 74:17

purposes 70:2

**put** 40:3 43:24 46:7 48:4 50:6 53:10 54:22 55:5,8,11,19,23 57:8 58:9 62:23 65:7,9 67:5 76:7 84:15 87:1,2 88:3 91:4 104:21 108:3,12

**puts** 108:15

**putting** 36:22 74:2 107:4,5 117:19

#### Q

qualifications 34:14 36:4 41:24

qualified 81:25

qualify 35:13

**quality** 35:9 105:23 108:16,21,25 109:3

**question** 22:5 27:20 28:14,23 33:15 47:6 51:23 52:1,20 53:19 54:16 55:16 57:16 59:14 64:18 66:4 71:20 90:2 94:11,12 95:14 109:16,19,25 114:3,13, 14 115:1

**questions** 23:1 29:21 30:12 32:8 41:2 48:18 53:14 60:22,23 62:3 84:6 85:5 89:8 99:1,21 116:24,25 119:5

**quick** 64:18

**quickly** 30:10 41:5 98:17 103:16

quicksand 37:15

#### R

raise 52:1 114:3 116:23

raised 114:14

ramp 112:5



# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

resolution 34:25 91:20

resolutions 76:25

#### **C&I MEETING**

ran 38:17 Rapides 36:18 40:25 ratios 105:11 reaction 91:2 read 41:12 49:14 89:20 reading 104:5 reads 51:11 **ready** 35:22 real 41:5 48:25 93:8 97:1 103:16 107:20 realize 103:17 Reap-curiel 106:3,4,16 107:17 108:6 109:12 reason 28:25 33:4 47:3 84:20 103:3,5,6 107:21 reasons 33:8 44:8 rebuild 82:12 rebuilding 77:18 recall 25:16 102:14,20 receive 33:9 receiving 108:20 recent 40:9 65:16 recognize 78:11 recommend 96:23 recommendation 33:10 recommendations 32:11 recommended 33:3 49:17 reconsider 102:9 104:21 record 33:6,17 82:13 recording 99:15 recruiting 44:24

reduction 79:21 reelected 90:16 reengineering 107:16 refer 94:16 reference 85:11 90:5 102:22 103:18 104:18 referenced 52:20 referring 88:18 refundability 94:21 95:7 refurbishments 100:4 regard 32:23 regular 108:18 rehabilitation 107:18, 21 reject 95:24 related 34:17 78:18 109:19 relates 59:13 87:3 105:5 107:20 relationship 111:5 relative 42:19 44:9 relevant 108:25 reliable 65:13 remain 66:1 83:5 remarks 31:2 remember 49:15 81:4 86:15 97:2 remodel 77:6 107:3,19 remodeling 77:5,13 106:23 107:16 109:9,10 removal 56:25 remove 83:2 85:21 removed 86:16 93:7 **removes** 86:18 removing 78:6 rendered 40:5,6

renewal 33:2.9.12 41:7 91:10 92:1 102:23 109:25 110:3,9 111:13 112:19 113:25 renewals 32:25 33:3 110:8,18 111:3,20 112:16 renewed 110:5 renewing 33:20 REP-CURIEL 108:1 repeat 99:14 replace 57:5 78:19,21 80:5,9 84:24 replaced 79:21 replacement 33:21 56:25 77:3,24 78:5,23 replacements 83:20 replacing 62:1 report 28:14 70:22 reporting 35:7 represent 102:5 106:4 represented 111:24 request 22:14 102:8 require 43:25 100:5 required 35:12 40:1 requirement 27:3,4 38:21 116:18 requirements 87:11 90:5 requires 60:7 requiring 53:3 59:14 research 35:8 **reserves** 110:23 residential 105:13 107:20

resources 36:22 37:3 respect 44:12 106:6,23 35:17 56:22 58:5 85:11 107:4 87:14 89:12 109:20,23 respond 22:11 response 25:15 48:20 53:16 60:19 99:2 responsibility 41:23 85:21 responsive 36:2 rest 51:16 restrict 40:13 45:19 restricted 79:22 84:3 restrictive 46:11 result 23:20 24:1 81:8,12,16 83:14 84:21, results 30:20 39:16 retail 107:1 **returns** 72:23 revenue 21:13 33:7 46:6 65:1 95:3 revenues 74:25 review 35:3,9 117:9 Rhonda 106:4 Richard 29:23 35:18 44:19 45:23 61:9 65:19, 24 87:2 116:11 rightly 88:19 risk 71:10 road 109:3 Robbia 85:9 **Robby** 85:6 Robert 40:4 73:3 78:17 91:9 111:16 role 21:25 23:15 rolling 57:7 rolls 39:12 46:19 47:23 84:2,14,15 110:7



107:21

**red** 37:16 50:5,6 51:15

Redevelopment

# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

**COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES** www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

August 10, 2016 Index: Ronnie..stakeholders

#### **C&I MEETING**

**Ronnie** 53:19 54:16 58:14 60:22 62:3 69:9 85:4 95:14

**room** 47:19 55:22 73:8 118:16

**Rouge** 31:20 40:24 80:20 84:14

row 115:22

**rubber** 28:3 86:2 93:1 111:8

### rubber-stamped 93:7

**rule** 50:11 51:9,11,16 59:9 66:17 72:2 100:21, 25 107:25 110:9 114:18 115:13,16

rules 20:4,13 25:10 30:12 31:7,11 32:12 35:1 49:1,8,22 50:4,13 52:4 54:2,10,22 55:11 57:20 60:2,4,14 61:7 62:24 65:8 82:25 88:12, 22 89:24 90:15,23 91:4, 5,6 92:24 93:2,4,9,16 94:16 95:11,18,23 98:6, 14 100:1 104:8 113:6

run 47:23 95:17 106:21

running 42:13 73:21

runs 74:24

rural 44:16 106:25

### S

**safe** 107:15

**sale** 75:19

**sales** 21:11 74:14,24 105:3

scans 108:11,14,15,17

scenario 46:8

scenarios 108:13

**school** 24:6 43:2,25 47:22 48:6 91:19 105:21

schools 105:19

scrap 104:14

**secretary** 62:13 106:9,

**section** 51:17 66:18 78:2 80:4,6 85:2 89:4, 12

Security 75:7

sees 38:3

select 54:6

selected 57:12

**sell** 74:16

Senator 88:19 95:5

send 117:14

sending 97:2

**sense** 36:14 46:7,15 47:14 61:19 73:22 77:21 87:20

sentence 51:8 69:15

**separate** 88:6,11,14 90:10

**served** 74:16

**service** 55:19,23 66:16 100:12,15,17,19 101:10 106:22 110:6

services 66:14

**session** 28:20 89:11 94:17

**set** 24:20 26:4 27:5,14 36:16,21 52:4 72:16 88:22.23 116:24 118:12

setting 21:2

Seventy 45:15

severely 40:13

shaping 48:7

**share** 28:19

**shared** 30:19 37:8

**sharing** 25:4 39:10 42:2,14 47:14,15

sheriff 43:4 47:22

shocked 29:11

**shortly** 98:12

**shot** 25:11

shoulders 48:5

**sic** 40:9

**side** 27:25 37:12 91:9 95:3,11 108:24 109:1

**sign** 24:11 25:12 93:14 96:16

signature 24:8 31:11

signatures 24:6

signed 91:15

significant 37:3

signs 40:20 91:22

**similar** 106:17

**simple** 28:25 72:10

90:21

simpler 78:13

simplify 79:18

simplistic 37:10,17

**simply** 20:19 29:15 79:6 90:9 98:3 105:6

single 57:9 68:11

sir 20:10 21:23 22:3,19 25:1 50:20,25 51:6,21 52:7,18 53:7,12 54:19 58:12 59:2,24 60:16 61:3 67:3 70:14 71:16 75:13 78:8 83:17 89:18 95:10 99:11,20 101:2,7 102:2

sit 74:22

site 62:12 84:11

sites 40:11,12 68:25

sits 73:20

**sitting** 29:13 44:22 72:20 75:8 111:1

situation 20:2 31:16

36:16 45:7

situations 80:2,24

Sione 24:22 25:2,8,24 27:17,22 55:15 56:12 57:15,24 58:15,21 62:5, 10 63:13,21 68:9 69:10 81:15,20 85:8 94:13 95:15 96:6 101:4 109:19 114:14

slow 36:14

small 34:9 63:8

smaller 58:5

**So-so** 99:17

Social 75:7

someone's 41:17

Something's 31:25

sophisticated 44:13

**sort** 31:15 51:17 57:8 62:22 81:17

**sources** 65:13

**South** 80:19

speak 28:5 60:10 99:17

speaking 47:18 102:7

special 36:5 98:13

**specific** 28:4 67:9 75:10

**specifically** 77:16 79:21 102:21 109:21 110:22 115:6

**spend** 78:22 107:12 114:19.20

spent 114:25

**spoke** 85:16

**stability** 28:25 29:6,9, 17,20 103:15 105:1

**staff** 21:4 30:9 32:10 36:9 60:3

**stage** 20:5

stakeholders 35:21



# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES
www.torresreporting.com
1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

August 10, 2016 Index: stamp..tracking

#### **C&I MEETING**

**stamp** 86:2

**stamped** 28:3 93:1 111:8

stand 36:3 112:9

standard 33:24

**standards** 24:20 27:5

standpoint 35:16

**start** 24:2 30:11 70:8 81:17 88:24 97:23,24 98:14,18 111:10

**started** 35:7 57:3

**starting** 42:3 60:22 110:11

**state** 28:17 29:15 31:20 32:6 39:25 44:24 48:10 88:6 93:10 98:3 104:14, 25 105:20,23 111:23 112:10 113:4

State's 112:9

stated 55:18 110:9

statement 67:14

**states** 28:11 40:1 48:13 61:16 76:1 104:10,17, 22

stating 24:10

stations 73:9

stay 20:7

steering 41:7 42:25

step 20:19 66:7

stool 105:4.7

straight 61:1,17 88:12

street 118:18,20

**strictly** 60:5 111:18

**structure** 29:3 105:14

**stuff** 49:17 50:4 55:19 76:25 79:17

subject 92:1 109:17

submission 35:4

**submitted** 59:21 116:24 117:9

**suggest** 57:18 58:6 60:3 61:15 81:7 86:16, 25 89:10 106:7 109:6

**suggested** 42:25 57:19 61:25 90:12 109:7

suggesting 87:16

suggestion 79:1

**suggestions** 36:23 49:3 77:1

**suggests** 37:9,18

summary 30:23

**sunshine** 91:1 94:6,9

supplement 70:22

**support** 36:17 44:16 68:2

supported 65:15

supporting 59:12

supportive 46:19

**supposed** 113:2,8 115:3

**system** 44:16

#### Т

**table** 39:22 40:2 44:11, 22 92:20

takes 70:8 100:10

**taking** 44:25 81:12 97:12 117:18

**talk** 22:8 35:7 37:6 81:5 117:8

**talked** 66:11 76:24 102:10,21 108:7

**talking** 26:20 31:14 34:9 41:19 55:21 60:10 68:14 79:5,16 88:24 96:2 106:15 112:15

talks 79:4

**Tangipahoa** 38:11 40:25

tap 23:13

tape 37:16

tax 21:11 28:15,24 29:3, 13 30:21 33:7 34:3 37:5,23 38:22 39:5,12, 14 40:24 41:10,21 44:9 46:6,19 47:1,23 61:24 67:20,21 71:4 72:23 79:22 84:2 94:22 95:8, 11 100:20 102:5 104:9, 25 105:2,3 107:13 110:7 112:1,10,20,25 113:5

**taxes** 42:6 43:7 70:11 100:14 105:1,5

taxpayer 118:3,4

telling 20:18

tells 28:15

**templates** 36:7,13

tenets 32:18

**term** 38:13 55:18 59:21, 22

**terms** 28:12 32:11 37:19 43:1

terrorism 82:6

testimony 74:8

**Texas** 28:23,24 29:1,3, 14 104:2,4,10,25 105:11,16,22

their's 104:12

**thing** 20:5 28:8 39:18 49:11,12 59:5 62:20 68:10 81:2 84:1 87:14 92:12,15 98:19

things 29:19 30:21 32:11,17 33:19 37:17 45:3 49:18,24 50:15 54:11,21 55:23 57:1,5 59:4 60:6 64:1,24 77:1 85:10 87:19 88:23 97:25 98:17 108:8,21 109:2,18 115:19

thinking 98:16

thinks 68:13

third-party 46:16 66:23

Thirty-eight 48:13

thought 25:17 68:10

three-legged 105:4,7

three-year 70:10

threes 62:15

threshold 115:12

ties 84:17

tighter 93:16,22

timber 72:17 73:20

time 20:14 23:7 24:9 29:2 31:3 36:17 40:17 44:15 52:21 53:5 54:6 57:11 60:10 70:11 71:25 74:4 101:21 108:15 109:24 111:11, 25 117:19 118:13

time-consuming 98:23

timely 34:7 86:23

times 70:1 72:2 104:13

**today** 31:2 36:2 37:8 38:19 44:5 49:22 52:2, 5.10 85:17

toe-to-toe 39:24

tons 115:20

top 51:13 82:21

**topic** 113:15

totally 29:3 36:12

touch 53:22

**touches** 51:12

**track** 33:17 41:13 57:19 58:7 82:13

tracking 41:11,17 106:19



# TORRES REPORTING & ASSOCIATES, INC.

COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX

August 10, 2016 Index: tradeoff..you-all

#### **C&I MEETING**

tradeoff 46:5 transfer 84:11.13.17 **transfers** 84:13,19 treated 24:9 tucked 38:2 turned 49:13 70:25

two-year 71:21 twos 62:16

type 50:10 107:4 108:17

types 57:1

#### U

ultimately 57:20 92:15 uncertainty 26:22 underdeveloped 44:17

understand 44:18 58:3,5 63:1 64:4 67:6 69:19.21 79:12 89:16 115:24 116:5

understanding 31:12 54:20,23 55:1 56:1 59:22 70:14 96:14 102:24 103:7 113:9

undertaken 104:8

uniformity 37:19 38:23 41:8 43:1,12,20

unit 78:18.19.21.22

**United** 61:16

universe 21:5 34:10

unsure 59:5

unusual 47:5

update 48:23 98:6

upgrades 100:4

upgrading 107:5

**usable** 36:12

useless 40:6,7

### ٧

vacated 107:1,2 Valorum 50:22

valuation 42:17.18

versus 105:13

view 26:17,19 67:16 72:10 74:6 113:12 115:17

viewed 115:13

views 24:10

**VILLA** 118:15

violations 33:6

visit 112:14

voice 32:4 39:22 40:2 41:1 44:3

void 52:25

volume 65:1

vote 39:8 76:8 111:2

voted 76:8

voting 52:9 97:23

### W

wait 35:25 82:12 93:13 111:16

waiting 100:16

walk 20:6,20

**walked** 63:25

wall 108:24

wanted 48:25 57:5 66:1 114:3 116:23

wanting 106:19

Ward 94:17 95:6

warehouses 107:2

**water** 48:3

wavelength 111:17

ways 46:25

website 113:7

weeds 32:10 48:2

week 104:12 118:25

weight 48:5

well-defined 36:11

Wenn 40:25

whatnot 87:11

whatsoever 23:23

wide 67:8 77:21

Wile 20:4

win 44:23

**WINDHAM** 45:4.14 47:12 64:17,25 71:19 73:2,6,13 74:13,21 75:17 78:16 79:19 83:25 91:8,24 92:9 96:13

wise 86:14

wishes 20:17

withholding 75:6

wondering 114:3

word 25:3,16 26:10 27:21 67:7 74:6 75:11 77:6,9,10 84:21 86:16, 17,25 87:1 112:14

words 26:18

work 24:2 30:4 34:19 35:8,9 36:19 41:16 46:23 48:17 53:10 56:4 70:20 73:9 97:2 109:4

worked 35:20 100:16

102:12

working 22:1 29:7 32:23 76:24 100:8

102:25

works 52:24

world 107:20

worth 45:16

write 43:8 96:22

write-off 67:19,20

writing 97:4

wrong 23:4 30:2 50:18 115:2

### Υ

**y'all** 33:22 43:19 49:5, 12,16 50:14 61:19 76:7, 24 84:18 93:17 94:4 99:15 109:18 117:12, 15,18,23 118:21,22,23

y'all's 78:13 83:10

year 21:14 70:23 98:19 100:15 110:10,12 114:17,23

years 28:1 38:15 39:24 42:4,10 55:18 57:4 60:1 62:21 70:8 91:23 93:1 100:11,12,18 101:10 102:12,25 106:11 109:23,24 112:3

**yellow** 49:12,18 50:13 58:9

you-all 116:25



# Torres Reporting & Associates, inc.

**COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION SERVICES** www.torresreporting.com 1.866.982.6878 Toll Free

Baton Rouge, LA 225.751.0732 225.752.7308 FAX